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## Executive summary

The European Union Reference Laboratory for Food Contact Materials (EURL-FCM) organised a proficiency test (FCM-18-01) for the determination of the mass fractions of four oligomers (ethylene terephthalate cyclic dimer (PET cyclic dimer), ethylene terephthalate cyclic trimer (PET cyclic trimer), butylene terephthalate cyclic dimer (PBT cyclic dimer) and butylene terephthalate cyclic trimer (PBT cyclic trimer)) in food simulant D1 (ethanol $50 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) to support Regulation $10 / 2011$ on plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with food. This proficiency test was open to National Reference Laboratories (NRLs) and Official Control Laboratories (OCLs).

Two test items were prepared: solution 1 consisted of food simulant D1 spiked with a known amount of the four oligomers; solution 2 was obtained by migration from PET bottles into food simulant D1 and further spiking with the four oligomers. The homogeneity and stability of the test items were evaluated and the assigned values were derived from the results reported by the EURL-FCM.

Twenty seven NRLs from twenty five Member States and Switzerland, and nine OCLs (from Germany and Italy) registered to the exercise. One NRL and one OCL did not report results.
Laboratory results were rated using $z$ (or $z^{\prime}$ ) and zeta ( $\zeta$ ) scores in accordance with ISO 13528:2015. A relative standard deviation for proficiency assessment ( $\sigma_{p t}$ ) of $20 \%$ of the respective assigned values was set for the four oligomers, based on the perception of experts.

More than $79 \%$ and $71 \%$ of the participating laboratories performed satisfactorily (according to the $z$ score) for the analysis of the four oligomers in solution 1 and solution 2 , respectively. These results confirm that most NRLs are able to monitor mass fractions of oligomers in the frame of Regulation 10/2011. However further studies including the migration of oligomers from food contact materials are necessary.

Many of the participants underestimated the measurement uncertainty, which may be due to the lack of experience with such analyses. Several laboratories applied analytical methods that were not yet validated and estimated their measurement uncertainty from replicate analyses only.

## List of abbreviations and symbols

| DAD | Diode Array Detector |
| :---: | :---: |
| DG SANTE | Directorate General for Health and Food Safety |
| EURL | European Union Reference Laboratory |
| FCM | Food Contact Materials |
| GUM | Guide for the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement |
| HPLC | High Performance Liquid Chromatography |
| ISO | International Organization for Standardization |
| JRC | Joint Research Centre |
| LC | Liquid Chromatography |
| LOD | Limit of Detection |
| NIAS | Non-Intentionally Added Substances |
| NRL | National Reference Laboratory |
| OCL | Official Control Laboratory |
| PBT | Polybutylene terephthalate |
| PE | Polyethylene |
| PET | Polyethylene terephthalate |
| PT | Proficiency Test |
| K | coverage factor |
| $\sigma_{p t}$ | standard deviation for proficiency test assessment |
| $u\left(x_{i}\right)$ | calculated standard measurement uncertainty (of participant "i") |
| $u\left(x_{p t}\right)$ | standard uncertainty of the assigned value |
| $u_{\text {char }}$ | (standard) uncertainty contribution due to characterisation |
| $u_{\text {hom }}$ | (standard) uncertainty contribution due to homogeneity |
| $u_{s t}$ | (standard) uncertainty contribution due to stability |
| $U\left(x_{i}\right)$ | reported expanded uncertainty by participant " $i$ " |
| $U\left(x_{p p}\right)$ | expanded uncertainty of the assigned value |
| $x_{i}$ | reported mean value by participant "i" |
| $x_{p t}$ | assigned value |
| $z$ (or $z^{\prime}$ ) | z (or z') score |
|  | zeta score |

## 1. Introduction

The European Union Reference Laboratory for Food Contact Materials (EURL-FCM), hosted by the Joint Research Centre of the European Commission, organised a proficiency test (PT) for the determination of the mass fractions of four cyclic oligomers in food simulant D1 (ethanol $50 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Two cyclic PBT oligomers are regulated as FCM substance No 885 and two cyclic PET oligomers are Non-Intentionally Added Substances (NIAS).
This PT was agreed with the Directorate General for Health and Food Safety (DG SANTE) as part of the EURL-FCM annual work programme 2018. The PT was open to National Reference Laboratories (NRLs) and to Official Control Laboratories (OCLs) willing to participate.

This report summarises the outcome of the PT.

## 2. Scope

As stated in Regulation (EU) 2017/625 [1], one of the core duties of EURLs is to organise interlaboratory comparisons for the benefit of NRLs.
The present PT aims to assess the performance of NRLs and OCLs in the determination of the mass fractions of ethylene terephthalate cyclic dimer (PET cyclic dimer), butylene terephthalate cyclic dimer (PBT cyclic dimer), ethylene terephthalate cyclic trimer (PET cyclic trimer) and butylene terephthalate cyclic trimer (PBT cyclic trimer) in two solutions of food simulant D1. While the PET oligomers are considered as NIAS, the PBT oligomers are part of a mixture additive FCM No 885, including also the butylene terephthalate cyclic tetramer and pentamer [2].
The reported results were assessed following the administrative and logistic procedures of the JRC Unit in charge of the EURL-FCM, which is accredited for the organisation of PTs according to ISO 17043:2010 [3].
This PT is identified as "FCM-18-01".

## 3. Set up of the exercise

### 3.1 Time frame

The organisation of the FCM-18-01 exercise was agreed upon by the EURL-NRL-FCM network at the Plenary Meeting held in Ispra on October 24-26, 2017. An invitation letter was sent (via e-mail) to all NRLs of the network on March 19, 2018 (Annex 1). The registration deadline was set to April 30, 2018. Samples were sent to participants on May 15, 2018. A second dispatch was performed on May 23, 2018 for a few laboratories upon specific request. The dispatch was monitored by the PT coordinator using the messenger's parcel tracking system on the internet. The deadline for reporting of results was set to June 15, 2018. This deadline was extended till June 22, 2018 triggered by the second dispatch.

### 3.2 Confidentiality

The procedures used here for the organisation of PTs are accredited according to ISO 17043:2010 [3] and guarantee that the identity of the participants and the information provided by them is treated as confidential. However, the laboratory codes of NRLs appointed in line with Regulation (EU) 2017/625 [1] may be disclosed to DG SANTE upon request for the purpose of an assessment of their (long-term) performance. Similarly laboratory codes of appointed OCLs may be disclosed to their respective NRL upon request.

### 3.3 Distribution

Each participant received:

- two test solutions (1 and 2), each in one vial containing approx. 5 mL of test item;
- a calibration solution - mixture containing of target analytes at $20 \mu \mathrm{gmL}^{-1}$ - in a vial containing approx. 1 mL of hexafluoro-2-propanol/ethanol;
- a temperature sensor/indicator;
- the "Test item accompanying letter" (Annex 2); and
- a "Confirmation of receipt form" to be sent back to the PT coordinator after receipt of the test item (Annex 3).
Samples were sent under cooled conditions with ice packs to ensure a temperature below $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during dispatch.


### 3.4 Instructions to participants

Detailed instructions were given to participants in the "Test item accompanying letter" mentioned above. The measurands were defined as "the mass fractions of PET cyclic dimer, PBT cyclic dimer, PET cyclic trimer and PBT cyclic trimer in food simulant D1".
Participants were asked (i) to check whether the bottles and vial were undamaged after transport, (ii) to read the temperature sensor included in the container immediately upon arrival of the parcel, and (iii) to report the exposure category in the "Confirmation of receipt form". New samples were dispatched to eight participants having declared that the temperature sensor indicated that test items were exposed to temperatures above 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a moderate or prolonged time.

Participants were asked to perform two or three independent measurements and to report their calculated mean $\left(x_{i}\right)$ and the associated expanded measurement uncertainty $\left(U\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ together with the coverage factor $(k)$ and the analytical technique used for analysis.
A density of $1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ was used as conversion factor for both test solutions. Results were to be reported in the same format (e.g. number of significant figures) as normally reported to customers. Since the homogeneity study was performed with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sample intakes, the recommended minimum sample intake was set to $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$.
Participants were informed that the procedure used for the analysis should resemble as closely as possible their routine procedures for this type of matrix/analytes and mass fraction levels. Since many participants did not have long experience in the analysis of oligomers in food simulants, a technical note was provided describing the method validated by the EURL-FCM (Annex 4).
Participants received an individual code to access the on-line reporting interface, to report their measurement results and to complete the related questionnaire. The latter was designed to gather additional information related to measurements and laboratories (Annex 5).
Random laboratory codes were attributed and communicated to participants by e-mail.

## 4. Test item

### 4.1 Preparation

Annex III of Regulation (EC) 10/2011 [2] defines "food simulant D1" as a solution of water with ethanol $50 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$.

A PET bottle was supplied by ALPLA-Werke Lehner GmbH \& Co. KG (Vlotho, Germany), shipped on cardboard base, covered with PE, and stored at room temperature (max $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). This bottle was used for the migration experiment: it was filled with 500 mL of food simulant D1 and the migration experiment was performed at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , as prescribed in Annex $V$ of Regulation (EC) 10/2011 [2].
Half a litre of food simulant D1 solution was gravimetrically spiked with the selected PET and PBT cyclic oligomers (dimers, trimers) and shaken for 5 min to obtain solution 1. Similarly, half a litre of the migrated solution mentioned above was gravimetrically spiked with the selected PET and PBT cyclic oligomers (dimers, trimers) and shaken for 5 min to obtain solution 2 . Portions of 5 mL were manually filled into 25 mL screw capped Schott vials and then stored at $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
In addition, a $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}$-1 stock solution containing the selected cyclic oligomers in hexafluoro-2-propanol/EtOH was prepared gravimetrically. Portions of 1 mL were manually transferred to 5 mL amber vials, and stored at $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The mass fractions ( $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ ) of the four oligomers in both solutions were determined as described in Section 5.1.

Each vial was identified with a unique number and the PT identifier.

### 4.2 Homogeneity and stability

Measurements for the homogeneity and stability studies and the statistical treatment of data were performed by the EURL-FCM.
High Performance Liquid Chromatography with Diode Array Detection (HPLC-DAD) was used to determine the mass fractions of the selected PET and PBT cyclic oligomers at $\lambda=240 \mathrm{~nm}$. An Agilent Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 column ( $150 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) thermostated at $40 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was selected. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile (solvent A) and water (solvent B). The applied elution profile is described in Annex 4. The injection volume was set to $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$. Details of the analytical procedure are given in the Technical Note (Annex 4).

The assessment of homogeneity was performed after the preparation of the test items and before distribution to participants. For each solution, ten vials were randomly selected and analysed in duplicate. Results were evaluated according to ISO 13528:2015 [5]. Both solutions proved to be adequately homogeneous for the investigated analytes (Annex 6.1). The contribution from homogeneity $\left(u_{\text {hom }}\right)$ to the standard uncertainty of the assigned value ( $u\left(x_{p t}\right)$ ) was calculated using SoftCRM [6].
Three additional samples of each solution were analysed in duplicate after the reporting deadline. Results were then compared to those obtained from the homogeneity study. This stability study confirms that the two solutions are adequately stable (i) at $+4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (fridge temperature) over the whole period of time of the PT ( 8 weeks, from the value assignment till the deadline for reporting results), (ii) for 2 weeks at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (simulating extreme conditions which may occur during transport) and (iii) at the reference temperature of $-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The uncertainty contribution due to stability was set to zero ( $u_{s t}=$ 0 ) for all the investigated analytes (Annex 6.2).

## 5. Assigned values and corresponding uncertainties

### 5.1 Assigned values

The assigned values ( $x_{p t}$ ) of mass fractions for the PET cyclic dimer or trimer, and the PBT cyclic dimer or trimer in the two solutions of food simulant D1 listed in Table 1 were determined by the EURL-FCM applying the validated HPLC-DAD method mentioned above.

### 5.2 Associated uncertainties

The associated standard uncertainties of the assigned values (u(xpt)) were calculated following the law of uncertainty propagation, combining the standard measurement uncertainty of the characterization ( $u_{\text {char }}$ ) with the standard uncertainty contributions from homogeneity ( $u_{\text {hom }}$ ) and stability $\left(u_{s t}\right)$, in compliance with ISO 13528:2015 [5]:

$$
u\left(x_{p t}\right)=\sqrt{u_{\text {char }}^{2}+u_{\text {hom }}^{2}+u_{s t}^{2}} \quad \text { Eq. } 1
$$

where $u_{\text {char }}$ was derived from the intermediate precision obtained in the frame of the method validation study, according to ISO 5725 [7].

### 5.3 Standard deviation for proficiency assessment, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{p t}}$

The relative standard deviations for PT assessment ( $\sigma_{p t}$ ) were set for all measurands to $20 \%$ of the respective assigned values, based on expert judgment (Table 1).

Table 1: Assigned value ( $x_{p t}$ ) and standard deviation for the PT assessment ( $\sigma_{p t}$ ) for solutions 1 and 2 . All values (excluding the last column) are expressed in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$.

| Sol. | Oligomers | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{p t}}$ | $\boldsymbol{u}_{\text {char }}$ | $\boldsymbol{u}_{\text {hom }}$ | $\boldsymbol{u}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{t}}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{p t}}$ | $\boldsymbol{u}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{p t}}\right) / \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\boldsymbol{p t}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | PET cyclic dimer | 0.0550 | 0.0026 | 0.0005 | 0.0026 | 0.0110 | 0.2 |
|  | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.0538 | 0.0037 | 0.0005 | 0.0037 | 0.0108 | 0.3 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | 0.0530 | 0.0026 | 0.0002 | 0.0026 | 0.0106 | 0.2 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.0502 | 0.0061 | 0.0006 | 0.0061 | 0.0100 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | 0.0585 | 0.0028 | 0.0007 | 0.0028 | 0.0117 | 0.2 |
|  | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.0706 | 0.0049 | 0.0008 | 0.0049 | 0.0141 | 0.3 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | 0.1645 | 0.0080 | 0.0003 | 0.0080 | 0.0329 | 0.2 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.0509 | 0.0062 | 0.0005 | 0.0062 | 0.0102 | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ |

## 6. Evaluation of results

### 6.1 Scores and evaluation criteria

The individual laboratory performance was expressed in terms of $z$ and $\zeta$ scores according to ISO 13528:2015 [5]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
z=\frac{x_{i}-x_{p t}}{\sigma_{p t}}  \tag{Eq. 2}\\
\zeta=\frac{x_{i}-x_{p t}}{\sqrt{u^{2}\left(x_{i}\right)+u^{2}\left(x_{p t}\right)}} \tag{Eq. 3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where: $x_{i}$ is the measurement result reported by a participant;
$u\left(x_{i}\right)$ is the standard measurement uncertainty reported by a participant;
$x_{p t}$ is the assigned value;
$u\left(x_{p t}\right)$ is the standard measurement uncertainty of the assigned value;
$\sigma_{p t} \quad$ is the standard deviation for proficiency test assessment.

According to ISO 13528:2015 [5], when $u\left(x_{p t}\right)>0.3 \sigma_{p t}$ (cf. PBT cyclic trimer, Table 1) the uncertainty of the assigned value $\left(u\left(x_{p t}\right)\right.$ ) can be taken into account by expanding the denominator of the $z$ score and calculating the $z$ ' score, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{x_{i}-x_{p t}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{p t}^{2}+u^{2}\left(x_{p t}\right)}} \tag{Eq. 4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The interpretation of the $z$ (or $z^{\prime}$ ) and $\zeta$ performance scores is done according ISO 13528:2015 [5]:

| $\mid$ score $\mid \leq 2$ | satisfactory performance | (green in Annexes 7-14) |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $2<\mid$ score $\mid<3$ | questionable performance | (yellow in Annexes 7-14) |
| $\mid$ score $\mid \geq 3$ | unsatisfactory performance | (red in Annexes 7-14) |

The $z$ (or $z^{\prime}$ ) scores compare the participant's deviation from the assigned value with the standard deviation for proficiency test assessment ( $\sigma_{p t}$ ) used as common quality criterion.

The $\zeta$ scores state whether the laboratory's result agrees with the assigned value within the respective uncertainty. The denominator is the combined uncertainty of the assigned value $u\left(x_{p t}\right)$ and the measurement uncertainty as stated by the laboratory $u\left(x_{i}\right)$. The $\zeta$ score includes all parts of a measurement result, namely the expected value (assigned value), its measurement uncertainty in the unit of the result as well as the uncertainty of the reported values. An unsatisfactory $\zeta$ score can either be caused by an inappropriate estimation of the concentration, or of its measurement uncertainty, or both.

The standard measurement uncertainty of the laboratory $u\left(x_{i}\right)$ was obtained by dividing the reported expanded measurement uncertainty by the reported coverage factor, $k$. When no uncertainty was reported, it was set to zero $\left(u\left(x_{i}\right)=0\right)$ by the PT coordinator. When $k$ was not specified, the reported expanded measurement uncertainty was considered by the PT coordinator as the half-width of a rectangular distribution; $u\left(x_{i}\right)$ was then calculated by dividing this half-width by $\sqrt{ } 3$, as recommended by Eurachem [8].

Uncertainty estimation is not trivial, therefore an additional assessment was provided to each laboratory reporting measurement uncertainty, indicating how reasonable has been their measurement uncertainty estimation.

The standard measurement uncertainty from the laboratory $u\left(x_{i}\right)$ is most likely to fall in a range between a minimum and a maximum allowed uncertainty (case "a": $u_{\min } \leq u_{i} \leq u_{\max }$ ). $u_{\text {min }}$ is set to the standard uncertainties of the assigned values $u\left(x_{p t}\right)$. It is unlikely that a laboratory carrying out the analysis on a routine basis would determine the measurand with a smaller measurement uncertainty than the expert laboratories chosen to establish the assigned value. $u_{\max }$ is set to the standard deviation accepted for the PT assessment $\left(\sigma_{p t}\right)$. Consequently, case "a" becomes: $u\left(x_{p t}\right) \leq u\left(x_{i}\right) \leq \sigma_{p t}$.
If $u\left(x_{i}\right)$ is smaller than $u\left(x_{p t}\right)$ (case "b") the laboratory may have underestimated its measurement uncertainty. Such a statement has to be taken with care as each laboratory reported only measurement uncertainty, whereas the measurement uncertainty associated with the assigned value also includes contributions for homogeneity and stability of the test item. If those are large, measurement uncertainties smaller than $u\left(x_{p t}\right)$ are possible and plausible.
If $u\left(x_{i}\right)$ is larger than $\sigma_{p t}$ (case "c") the laboratory may have overestimated its measurement uncertainty. An evaluation of this statement can be made when looking at the difference between the reported value and the assigned value: if the difference is
smaller than the expanded uncertainty $U\left(x_{p t}\right)$ then overestimation is likely. If the difference is larger but $x_{i}$ agrees with $x_{p t}$ within their respective expanded measurement uncertainties, then the measurement uncertainty is properly assessed resulting in a satisfactory performance expressed as a $\zeta$ score, though the corresponding performance, expressed as a $z$ score, may be questionable or unsatisfactory.

It should be pointed out that " $u_{\text {max }}$ " is a normative criterion when set by legislation.

### 6.2 General observations

Twenty seven NRLs from twenty five Member States and Switzerland, and nine OCLs (from Germany and Italy) registered to the exercise. One NRL and one OCL did not report results. The 34 reporting laboratories, representing most of the EU Member States (except Finland, Latvia, Malta and Romania), reported results for all the 8 measurands; one of them reported "less than" values for the PBT cyclic dimer in both solutions.

### 6.3 Laboratory results and scorings

### 6.3.1 Performances

Annexes 7 to 17 present the reported results as tables and graphs for each measurand. National Reference Laboratories and Official Control Laboratories are denoted as $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{xx}$ and $0-x x$ respectively.

The corresponding Kernel density plots have been obtained by using the software available from the Statistical Subcommittee of the Analytical Methods Committee of the UK Royal Society of Chemistry [9].
The laboratory performance for the determination of the PET cyclic dimer, PBT cyclic dimer, and PET cyclic trimer in the solutions 1 and 2 was assessed using the $z$ and $\zeta$ scores. The ISO 13528:2015 [5] recommendation was applied for the PBT cyclic trimer (for which $u\left(x_{p t}\right)>0.3 \sigma_{p t}$, cf. Table 1 b ) and the $z^{\prime}$ score was used as performance score instead of $z$.

Figure 1 presents the laboratory performances for the mass fractions investigated in the two solutions. Most of the participants having reported results performed satisfactorily for the four measurands in solution 1: 79 \% and above for the $z$ or $z^{\prime}$ score, and $68 \%$ and above for the $\zeta$ scores. Slightly lower performances are observed for solution 2: 71 $\%$ and above performed satisfactorily according to the $z$ or $z^{\prime}$ score and $51 \%$ and above for the $\zeta$ scores. Solution 2 may be more challenging to analyse due to the potential presence of interfering substances resulting from the migration experiment.


Figure 1: Overview of laboratory performance per measurand according to $z$ and $\zeta$ scores, for the PET cyclic dimer, PBT cyclic dimer, PET cyclic trimer and z' and $\zeta$ scores for the PBT cyclic trimer in solutions 1 and 2.
Corresponding number of laboratories included in the graph.
Satisfactory, questionable and unsatisfactory performances indicated in green, yellow and red, respectively.

### 6.3.2 Truncated values

Laboratory $\mathrm{N}-07$ reported truncated values for the PBT cyclic dimer in both solutions ("less than $0.04 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ "), corresponding to the limits of quantification (LOQ) or limits of detection (LOD) of the applied method. These values could not be included in the data evaluation. However, they were compared with the corresponding $x_{p t}-U\left(x_{p t}\right)$. Since the reported truncated values were lower than these values, the statement was considered incorrect. The laboratory should have detected the PBT cyclic dimer in the two solutions.

### 6.3.3 Measurement uncertainties

Figure 2 presents the measurement uncertainty evaluation. Most of the participants underestimated their measurement uncertainty (Case "b" (blue): $u\left(x_{i}\right)<u\left(x_{p t}\right)$ ). This is due to the fact that they estimated their uncertainty from measurement replicates only (Table 2). This is further confirmed by the larger number of unsatisfactory results assessed according to the $\zeta$ score.

More specifically, laboratories $\mathrm{N}-05, \mathrm{~N}-30$ and $\mathrm{N}-36$ did not provide any measurement uncertainty statement, while laboratory N-14 must have erroneously reported its MU in $\%$, instead of $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$. Furthermore, laboratories $\mathrm{N}-06$ and $\mathrm{O}-35$ did not report any coverage factor and were attributed a k of $1.73(=\sqrt{ } 3)$.

The EURL-FCM will organise a training course on measurement uncertainty dedicated to the network of NRLs following the EURL-NRL-FCM plenary meeting in 2018.


Figure 2: Review of uncertainties reported per measurand result. Corresponding number of laboratories indicated in the graph. Case "a" (green): $u\left(x_{p t}\right) \leq u\left(x_{i}\right) \leq \sigma_{p t}$; Case "b" (blue): $u\left(x_{i}\right)<u\left(x_{p t}\right)$; Case "c" (orange): $u\left(x_{i}\right)>\sigma_{p t}$

### 6.3.4 Additional information extracted from the questionnaire

The questionnaire was answered by all participants giving valuable information on the laboratories, their way of working and their analytical methods.

All participants, except one, stated that they have an ISO/IEC 17025 accreditation; N-12 referred only to ISO 9001.
The majority of participants ( 30 out of 34 ) did not have experience at all with the analysis of the four analytes investigated. However five of them stated they had experience with the analysis of other oligomers, such as polyamide 6 or polyamide 66 . Due to the lack of experience in this type of analyses, most of the participants ( 22 out of 34) applied the Technical Note provided by the EURL-FCM (Annex 4). The experimental details presented in Annexes 15 and 16 do not indicate a direct correlation between the use of the technical note and the performance in the PT study.

The majority of the participants (23 out of 34 ) routinely report uncertainties for this type of analysis to their customers. Several approaches were used to estimate measurement uncertainties (Table 2). Most of the laboratories derived their uncertainty estimates from measurement replicates.

Table 2: Overview of the approaches used to estimate measurement uncertainties (multiple selections were possible).

| Approach | $N^{\circ}$ of labs |
| :--- | :---: |
| According to ISO-GUM | 2 |
| From known uncertainty of a standard method | 0 |
| Derived from a single-laboratory validation study | 4 |
| Measurement of replicates (precision) | 14 |
| Estimation based on judgment | 3 |
| Derived from interlaboratory comparison data | 0 |
| From Horwitz | 4 |

## 7. Conclusion

The proficiency test FCM-18-01 was organised to assess the analytical capabilities of EU NRLs and OCLs to determine the mass fractions of the PET cyclic dimer, PBT cyclic dimer, PET cyclic trimer and PBT cyclic trimer in spiked solutions of food simulant D1.

The overall performance of the participants in the determination of these oligomers was satisfactory despite the lack of experience in this type of analysis for most of the participants. Consequently, most of the participants underestimated their measurement uncertainty. After this PT, participants may consider validating these methods of analysis.
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## Annex 1: Invitation letter



## EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Joint Research Centre
Directorate F - Health, Consumers \& Reference Materials
European Union Reference Laboratory for Food Contact Materials

Geel, 19 March 2018
(sent by e-mail)

Subject: Invitation to participate in FCM-18-01 PT round
Dear National Reference Laboratory representative,
On behalf of the EURL for Food Contact Materials, we would like to invite you to participate in the Proficiency Test round FCM-18-01 "Determination of oligomers in food simulant D1".

The PT fulfils the EURL-FCM mandate under Regulation (EC) No 882/2004 and 2017/625.
According to Regulation (EC) No $882 / 2004$ it is your duty as NRL to participate in PTs organised by the EURL-FCM.

Your participation is free of charge.
Please register electronically by using the link below and following the instructions on screen.
https://web.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ilcRegistrationWeb/registration/registration.do?selComparison=1981
Once you have submitted your registration electronically, you will have to:

- Print your registration form, as indicated on screen
- Sign it, date it and send it to us by e-mail (JRC-EURL-FCM@ec.europa.eu )

Please register by Monday the $30^{\text {th }}$ of April 2018.
Please inform us how many OCLs would be interested in participating, together with their addresses, contact number and responsible person. They should register electronically by using the link above.

Samples will be dispatched at $15^{\text {th }}$ of May 2018.
The deadline for submission of results is the $15^{\text {th }}$ of June 2018.

Do not hesitate to contact us if you have any further questions.
Kind regards,
/signed electronically in Ares/
Dr. P. Dehouck
FCM-18-01 PT Coordinator
signed electronically in Ares/
Dr. E. Hoekstra
Operator Manager EURL-FCM

Cc: Hendrik Emons (Head of Unit, Food \& Feed Compliance, F.5)

## Annex 2: Test item accompanying letter

Ispra, $15^{\text {th }}$ May 2018
JRC.F.5/PdH/EH/mt/ARES(2018)18-037/

Attn:: «Title» «Firstname» «Surname»
«Organisation»
«Department»
«Address»
«Address2»
«Zip» «Town»
«Country»

Subject: Participation in FCM-18-01 - Determination of the mass fractions of oligomers in food simulant D1

## Dear «Title» «Surname»,

Thank you for participating in the FCM-18-01 proficiency test (PT) for the "Determination of the mass fractions of oligomers in food simulant D1". This PT is organised in support to Regulation 10/2011 on plastic materials and articles intended to come into contact with food.
Please keep this letter. You will need it to report your results.
The parcel you received contains, in addition to this letter:

- two test solutions (1 and 2), each in one bottle with approx. 5 mL of food simulant D1;
- a calibration solution mixture containing the four target analytes at $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ in a vial of approx. 1 mL of hexafluoro-2-propanol/ethanol;
- the "Confirmation of receipt" form.

Upon arrival of this parcel, please check:

1. immediately the temperature sensor/indicator inside the container, and report the exposure category in the confirmation receipt document.
2. whether the bottles and vial are undamaged after transport.

The test solutions and calibration solution mixture should be stored until analysis in a dark place at a temperature of $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Send us or email the "Confirmation of receipt" form within 3 days after receipt of the samples.

The measurands are PET cyclic dimer, PET cyclic trimer, PBT cyclic dimer and PBT cyclic trimer in food simulant D1.

The procedure used for the analyses should resemble as closely as possible the one you use in routine analyses.

Perform two or three independent measurements and report:

- the mean of your two or three measurements results (in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ );
- the associated expanded uncertainty (in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ );
- the coverage factor; and
- the analytical technique used.

A density of $1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mL}^{-1}$ shall be assumed as conversion factor for test solutions 1 and 2 . The results should be reported in the same format (e.g. number of significant figures) as you normally report to customers.

The homogeneity study was performed with $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ sample intakes leading to a recommended minimum sample intake level of $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$.

The reporting website is https://web.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ilcReportingWeb
To access the webpage you need the following personal password key: «Part_key».
The system will guide you through the reporting procedure. Then complete the corresponding questionnaire. Do not forget to submit and confirm when required.
Directly after submitting your results and the questionnaire online, you will be requested to print the completed report form. Please check carefully this report form. In the case mistakes are detected contact the ILC coordinator as soon as possible before the reporting deadline.

The deadline for submission of results is $\mathbf{1 5 / 0 6 / 2 0 1 8}$.
The procedures used for the organisation of PTs are accredited according to ISO/IEC 17043:2010 and guarantee that the identity of the participants and the information provided by them is treated as confidential. However, lab codes of National Reference Laboratories appointed in line with Regulation (EC) No 882/2004 as amended by Regulation (EC) 2017/625, will be disclosed to DG SANTE upon request for (long-term) performance assessment. Lab codes of appointed Official Control Laboratories may be disclosed to their National Reference Laboratory upon request.
Remember that collusion is contrary to professional scientific conduct and serves only to nullify the benefits of proficiency tests to customers, accreditation bodies and analysts alike.
Your participation in this project is greatly appreciated.
Do not hesitate to contact me for further information.
With kind regards,

## /signed electronically in Ares/

Dr. Pieter Dehouck
FCM-18-01 Coordinator
Cc. H. Emons (Head of Unit, Food \& Feed Compliance, F.5), E. Hoekstra (Operating Manager EURL-FCM)

## Annex 3: Confirmation of receipt form

Attn.: «Title» «Firstname» «Surname»
«Organisation»
«Department»
«Address»
《Zip» «Town»
«Country"
Subject: "Confirmation receipt" form - FCM-18-01 Oligomers in food simulant D1
Please return this form at your earliest convenience, to confirm that the package arrived well and specify the exposure category (none, brief, moderate, prolonged) indicated on the temperature sensor upon samples arrival to your laboratory. If samples are damaged or were exposed to inappropriate temperature during transport, please mention it below and contact us as soon as possible.

Date of package arrival
Were the samples damaged? $\square$ YES $\square$ NO
Remarks
$\mathrm{T}^{\circ}$ sensor: Please tick an $\mathbf{X}$ into the correct box to indicate where red dots are visible.

| $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { To Activate } \\ \text { Fold Up \& Pull } \end{array}\right\|$ | NO COLOUR | $\square$ | TEST ITEMS OK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BRIEF (RED) | $\square$ | TEST ITEMS OK |
|  | MODERATE (RED) | $\square$ | TEST ITEMS NOT OK |
|  | PROLONGED (RED) | $\square$ | TEST ITEMS NOT OK |

Signature

Thank you for returning this form by email to:
Dr. Pieter Dehouck
FCM-18-01 Coordinator
e-mail : jrc-eurl-fcm@ec.europa.eu
European Commission, Via Enrico Fermi 2749, I-21027 Ispra (Varese) - Italy. Telephone: (39)0332-78-9111
e-mail: jrc-eurl-fcm@ec.europa.eu
URL: https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/eurl/food-contact-materials

## Annex 4: Technical Note

## Technical Note

$$
\text { Ref. Ares(2018) } 1957065-12 / 04 / 2018
$$

EURL-FCM analytical method for the determination of selected oligomers in food simulant D1 (could be used for the proficiency test FCM-2018-01)

## 1. Target analytes

in elution order according to the method below

| Analyte | Molecular mass (Da) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CAS } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Chemical name | Chemical formula | Chemical structure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PET $1^{\text {st }}$ series cyclic dimer | 384.34 | 24388-68-9 | 3,6,13,16- <br> Tetraoxatricyclo[16.2.2.28,11]tetracos a-8,10,18,20,21,23-hexaene-2,7,12,17-tetrone | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ |  |
| PBT cyclic dimer* | 440.44 | 63440-93-7 | $\begin{gathered} 3,8,15,20- \\ \text { Tetraoxatricyclo[20.2.2.210,13]octaco } \\ \text { sa-10,12,22,24,25,27-hexaene- } \\ 2,9,14,21 \text {-tetrone } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ |  |
| PET $1^{\text {st }}$ series cyclic trimer | 576.50 | 7441-32-9 | 3,6,13,16,23,26- Hexaoxatetracyclo[26,2.2.28,11.218,2 1]hexatriaconta- 8,10,18,20,28,30,31,33,35-nonaene- 2,7,12,17,22,27-hexone | $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ |  |
| PBT cyclic trimer* | 660.66 | 63440-94-8 | $3,8,15,20,27,32-$ Hexaoxatetracycloco $32.22 .2210,13.222$, $25]$ dotetraconta- $10,12,22,24,34,36,37,39,41$-nonaene- $2,9,14,21,26,33$-hexone | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ |  |

* Part of the FCM 885 (Regulation EU No 10/2011).

2. Instrumentation and analytical column

- Agilent HPLC 1200 system
- Agilent UV detector
- Column: Agilent Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 (150 x $4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ )

3. Method
A. Mobile phase:
4. Solvent A: Acetonitrile HPLC Chromasolv gradient grade
5. Solvent B: Ultrapure Water
B. Flow: $\quad 2.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
C. Elution: Apply the linear gradient described hereafter

| Time <br> $(\mathbf{m i n})$ |  | Solvent A <br> $(\%)$ | Solvent B <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | Flow <br> $(\mathbf{m L} / \mathbf{m i n})$ | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To |  | 60 | 2.0 | Isocratic |
| 0.0 | 6.0 | 40 | 25 | 2.0 | Linear gradient |
| 6.0 | 15.0 | 75 | 25 | Isocratic |  |
| 15.0 | 19.0 | 75 | 5 | 2.0 | Linear gradient |
| 19.0 | 21.0 | 95 | 60 | 2.0 | Equilibration |
| 21.0 | 22.0 | 40 | 60 | 2.0 | Equilibration |
| 22.0 | 23.0 | 40 |  |  |  |

D. Injection volume: $\quad 50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$
E. Column temperature: Analytical column temperature set to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left( \pm 1.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
F. UV detection wavelength: $\quad 240 \mathrm{~nm}$

## Annex 5: Questionnaire

1. Are you a National Reference Laboratory (NRL)? [Q:110311: CHECKBOX]
$\square$ a) Yes [A:348]
$\square$ b) No [A:349]
$\square$
1.1. If "No" have you been nominated by your NRL? [Q:110312: CHECKBOX]
a) Yes [A:348]
b) No [A:349]
1.1.1. If "Yes" please identify your NRL [Q:110313: TEXT]
$\square \quad$ 2. Analytical method(put "X" where applicable) [Q:110316: CUSTOM TABLE] Analytical method

| Questions/Response table | Standard method? | Validated method? | Accredited method? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PBT cyclic dimer |  |  |  |
| PBT cyclic trimer |  |  |  |
| PET cyclic dimer |  |  |  |
| PET cyclic trimer |  |  |  |

$\square \quad$ 2.1. Did you use the protocol that was sent to you? [Q:110325: CHECKBOX]
a) Yes [A:348]
b) No [A:349]
$\square \quad$ 2.2. If "No" describe briefly the analytical method used. [Q:110326: TEXT]
$\square$
$\square \quad$ 3. Analytical method (LOD, mobile phase composition, detection, column) [Q:110317: CUSTOM TABLE] Analytical method (details)

| Questions/Response table | LOD (mg/kg) | Mobile phase used | Injection volume ( $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) | Detection used | Column used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PBT cyclic dimer |  |  |  |  |  |
| PBT cyclic trimer |  |  |  |  |  |
| PET cyclic dimer |  |  |  |  |  |
| PET cyclic trimer |  |  |  |  |  |

$\square$ 4. Does your laboratory carry this type of analysis on a regular basis? [Q:110318: CUSTOM TABLE] Laboratory experience (samples per year)

| Questions/Response table | 1) 0-50 | 2) $50-250$ | 3) 250-1000 | 4) $>1000$ | 5) Never |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PBT cyclic dimer |  |  |  |  |  |
| PBT cyclic trimer |  |  |  |  |  |
| PET cyclic dimer |  |  |  |  |  |
| PET cyclic trimer |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other oligomers |  |  |  |  |  |

$\square$ 5. What was the basis for your measurement uncertainty evaluation [Q:110319: CUSTOM TABLE]
Measurement uncertainty evaluation (use a to g)

| Questions/Response table | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic trimer | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic trimer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) Uncertainty budget (ISO GUM) |  |  |  |  |
| b) Known uncertainty of standard method (ISO 21748) |  |  |  |  |
| c) From in-house method validation |  |  |  |  |
| d) Measurement of replicates (precision) |  |  |  |  |
| e) Evaluation based on judgment |  |  |  |  |
| f) From interlaboratory comparison |  |  |  |  |
| g) Other (please specify) |  |  |  |  |

$\square$ 6. Do you usually provide an uncertainty statement to your customers? [Q:110320: CHECKBOX]
a) Yes [A:348]
b) No [A:349]
$\square$ 7. Does your laboratory have a quality management system? [Q:110321: CHECKBOX]
a) Yes [A:348]
b) No [A:349]
$\square \quad$ 8. If "Yes" based on which standard? [Q:110322: CHECKBOX]
a) ISO 17025 [A:350]
b) ISO 9001 series [A:2121]
c) Other [A:352]
$\square$ 9. Does your laboratory participate in interlaboratory comparisons for this type of analysis? [Q:110323: CHECKBOX]
a) Yes [A:348]
a) Yes [A:348]
b) No [A:349]
$\square$ 10. Do you have any comments? Let us know! [Q:110324: TEXT]

## Annex 6: Homogeneity and stability results

6.1 Homogeneity study (all values in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ )

| Solution 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bottle ID | PET Dimer |  | PBT Dimer |  | PET Trimer |  | PBT Trimer |  |
|  | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ |
| 1 | 0.0570 | 0.0559 | 0.0533 | 0.0543 | 0.0537 | 0.0544 | 0.0502 | 0.0502 |
| 2 | 0.0548 | 0.0570 | 0.0543 | 0.0554 | 0.0544 | 0.0537 | 0.0502 | 0.0502 |
| 3 | 0.0559 | 0.0570 | 0.0554 | 0.0554 | 0.0544 | 0.0544 | 0.0493 | 0.0518 |
| 4 | 0.0559 | 0.0548 | 0.0554 | 0.0554 | 0.0544 | 0.0537 | 0.0493 | 0.0502 |
| 5 | 0.0559 | 0.0581 | 0.0554 | 0.0554 | 0.0544 | 0.0544 | 0.0493 | 0.0502 |
| 6 | 0.0570 | 0.0570 | 0.0543 | 0.0543 | 0.0544 | 0.0544 | 0.0518 | 0.0518 |
| 7 | 0.0570 | 0.0559 | 0.0554 | 0.0564 | 0.0544 | 0.0537 | 0.0518 | 0.0526 |
| 8 | 0.0548 | 0.0570 | 0.0554 | 0.0554 | 0.0537 | 0.0544 | 0.0518 | 0.0510 |
| 9 | 0.0559 | 0.0559 | 0.0554 | 0.0543 | 0.0544 | 0.0537 | 0.0493 | 0.0518 |
| 10 | 0.0548 | 0.0559 | 0.0554 | 0.0554 | 0.0537 | 0.0537 | 0.0518 | 0.0510 |
| Mean | 0.0562 |  | 0.0551 |  | 0.0541 |  | 0.0508 |  |
| $S_{X}$ | 0.0006 |  | 0.0006 |  | 0.0002 |  | 0.0009 |  |
| $S_{w}$ | 0.0010 |  | 0.0005 |  | 0.0004 |  | 0.0009 |  |
| $S_{s}$ | 0 |  | 0.0005 |  | 0 |  | 0.0006 |  |
| $\sigma_{p t}$ | 0.0110 |  | 0.0108 |  | 0.0106 |  | 0.0100 |  |
| $0.3 * \sigma_{p t}$ | 0.0033 |  | 0.0032 |  | 0.0032 |  | 0.0030 |  |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{s}} \leq 0.3 * \sigma_{p t}$ | passed |  | passed |  | passed |  | passed |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solution 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bottle ID | PET Dimer |  | PBT Dimer |  | PET Trimer |  | PBT Trimer |  |
|  | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R 2}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R 2}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{2}$ |
| 1 | 0.0570 | 0.0581 | 0.0721 | 0.0731 | 0.1668 | 0.1675 | 0.0543 | 0.0551 |
| 2 | 0.0570 | 0.0592 | 0.0679 | 0.0721 | 0.1661 | 0.1668 | 0.0543 | 0.0526 |
| 3 | 0.0604 | 0.0592 | 0.0721 | 0.0710 | 0.1682 | 0.1668 | 0.0559 | 0.0551 |
| 4 | 0.0592 | 0.0581 | 0.0721 | 0.0710 | 0.1682 | 0.1682 | 0.0559 | 0.0526 |
| 5 | 0.0559 | 0.0570 | 0.0742 | 0.0689 | 0.1675 | 0.1661 | 0.0535 | 0.0551 |
| 6 | 0.0559 | 0.0570 | 0.0742 | 0.0710 | 0.1675 | 0.1675 | 0.0543 | 0.0535 |
| 7 | 0.0604 | 0.0592 | 0.0710 | 0.0721 | 0.1675 | 0.1661 | 0.0543 | 0.0551 |
| 8 | 0.0604 | 0.0559 | 0.0721 | 0.0700 | 0.1675 | 0.1661 | 0.0535 | 0.0518 |
| 9 | 0.0581 | 0.0581 | 0.0710 | 0.0721 | 0.1668 | 0.1661 | 0.0535 | 0.0543 |
| 10 | 0.0592 | 0.0581 | 0.0721 | 0.0721 | 0.1682 | 0.1675 | 0.0559 | 0.0551 |
| Mean | 0.0582 |  | 0.0716 |  | 0.1672 |  | 0.0543 |  |
| $S_{X}$ | 0.0012 |  | 0.0008 |  | 0.0006 |  | 0.0009 |  |
| $S_{W}$ | 0.0013 |  | 0.0018 |  | 0.0007 |  | 0.0011 |  |
| $S_{s}$ | 0.0007 |  | 0 |  | 0.0003 |  | 0.0004 |  |
| $\sigma_{p t}$ | 0.0117 |  | 0.0141 |  | 0.0329 |  | 0.0102 |  |
| $0.3 * \sigma_{p t}$ | 0.0035 |  | 0.0042 |  | 0.0099 |  | 0.0031 |  |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\boldsymbol{s}} \leq 0.3 * \sigma_{p t}$ | passed |  | passed |  | passed |  | passed |  |
| Assessment | Homogeneous |  | Homogeneous |  | Homogeneous |  | Homogeneous |  |

Where: $\quad \sigma_{p t}$ is the standard deviation for the PT assessment,
$s_{x}$ is the standard deviation of the sample averages,
$s_{w}$ is the within-sample standard deviation,
$s_{s}$ is the between-sample standard deviation,
6.2 Stability study (at $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, time in weeks (w), all values in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}{ }^{-1}$ )

| Solution 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | Stability criteria ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Assessment |
|  |  | Time |  |  | Time |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bottle } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | 0 w | 8 w | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bottle } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | 0 w | 8 w |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PET } \\ & \text { Dimer } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 0.0548 | 0.0537 | 1 | 0.0537 | 0.0548 | Passed | Stable |
|  | 2 | 0.0548 | 0.0537 | 2 | 0.0525 | 0.0537 |  |  |
| PBT Dimer | 1 | 0.0543 | 0.0533 | 1 | 0.0543 | 0.0533 | Passed | Stable |
|  | 2 | 0.0554 | 0.0554 | 2 | 0.0533 | 0.0543 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PET } \\ \text { Trimer } \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 0.0537 | 0.0523 | 1 | 0.0537 | 0.0523 | Passed | Stable |
|  | 2 | 0.0530 | 0.0530 | 2 | 0.0530 | 0.0530 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { PBT } \\ \text { Trimer } \end{array}$ | 1 | 0.0510 | 0.0493 | 1 | 0.0493 | 0.0502 | passed | Stable |
|  | 2 | 0.0502 | 0.0510 | 2 | 0.0493 | 0.0502 |  |  |


| Solution 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $-18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | Stability criteria ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Assessment |
|  |  | Time |  |  | Time |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bottle } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 w | 8 w | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Bottle } \\ \text { ID } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 w | 8 w |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PET } \\ & \text { Dimer } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 0.0570 | 0.0581 | 1 | 0.0548 | 0.0548 | Passed | Stable |
|  | 2 | 0.0570 | 0.0537 | 2 | 0.0559 | 0.0559 |  |  |
| PBT Dimer | 1 | 0.0689 | 0.0689 | 1 | 0.0710 | 0.0689 | Passed | Stable |
|  | 2 | 0.0710 | 0.0710 | 2 | 0.0679 | 0.0668 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { PET } \\ \text { Trimer } \end{array}$ | 1 | 0.1639 | 0.1639 | 1 | 0.1632 | 0.1625 | Passed | Stable |
|  | 2 | 0.1647 | 0.1647 | 2 | 0.1632 | 0.1632 |  |  |
| PBT Trimer | 1 | 0.0510 | 0.0526 | 1 | 0.0518 | 0.0510 | passed | Stable |
|  | 2 | 0.0510 | 0.0510 | 2 | 0.0502 | 0.0526 |  |  |

[^0]
## Annex 7: Results for PET cyclic dimer in solution 1

Assigned range: $x_{p t}=0.0550 \pm 0.0052 U\left(x_{p t} k=2.0\right) ; \sigma_{p t}=0.0110$ (all values in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ )

| Lab Code | $\mathrm{X}_{\text {lab }}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {lab }}$ | k | technique | $\mathrm{u}_{\text {lab }}$ | z-score | zeta-score | uncert. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-01 | 0.047 | 0.008 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.004 | -0.73 | -1.68 | a |
| N-02 | 0.063 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001 | 0.73 | 2.87 | b |
| N-03 | 0.075 | 0.024 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.012 | 1.82 | 1.63 | c |
| N-05 | 0.065 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.91 | 3.85 | b |
| N-06 | 0.059 | 0.001 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-UV | 0.00057735 | 0.36 | 1.50 | b |
| N-07 | 0.095 | 0.01 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.005 | 3.64 | 7.10 | a |
| N-08 | 0.026 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0005 | -2.64 | -10.95 | b |
| N-09 | 0.052 | 0.005 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0025 | -0.27 | -0.83 | b |
| N -10 | 0.048 | 0.0071 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.00355 | -0.64 | -1.59 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-11$ | 0.0544 | 0.00528 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.00264 | -0.05 | -0.16 | a |
| N-12 | 0.037 | 0.016 | 2 | LC-MS | 0.008 | -1.64 | -2.14 | a |
| N-13 | 0.054 | 0.004 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.002 | -0.09 | -0.30 | b |
| N-14 | 0.0611 | 10 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 5 | 0.55 | 0.00 | c |
| N -15 | 0.056 | 0.004 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.002 | 0.09 | 0.30 | b |
| N -16 | 0.051 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0015 | -0.36 | -1.33 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-17$ | 0.124 | 0.028 | 2.2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.012727273 | 6.27 | 5.31 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-22$ | 0.054 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | -0.09 | -0.33 | b |
| N-23 | 0.026 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.001 | -2.64 | -10.41 | b |
| N-24 | 0.058 | 0.014 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.007 | 0.27 | 0.40 | a |
| N-25 | 0.052 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | -0.27 | -1.00 | b |
| N-28 | 0.058 | 0.0087 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.00435 | 0.27 | 0.59 | a |
| N-29 | 0.09 | 0.009 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0045 | 3.18 | 6.73 | a |
| N-30 | 0.06 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.45 | 1.92 | b |
| N-31 | 0.056 | 0.011 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0055 | 0.09 | 0.16 | a |
| N-32 | 0.048 | 0.005 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0025 | -0.64 | -1.94 | b |
| N-36 | 0.0503 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | -0.43 | -1.81 | b |
| O-18 | 0.063 | 0.0074 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0037 | 0.73 | 1.77 | a |
| O-19 | 0.04877 | 0.00374 | 3.182 | HPLC-DAD | 0.001175361 | -0.57 | -2.18 | b |
| O-21 | 0.054 | 0.027 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0135 | -0.09 | -0.07 | C |
| O-26 | 0.055 | 0.0136 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0068 | 0.00 | 0.00 | a |
| O-27 | 0.057 | 0.011 | 3 | HPLC-DAD | 0.003666667 | 0.18 | 0.44 | a |
| O-33 | 0.069 | 0.02 | 2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.01 | 1.27 | 1.35 | a |
| O-34 | 0.068 | 0.0025 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.00125 | 1.18 | 4.51 | b |
| O-35 | 0.06 | 0.002 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-DAD | 0.001154701 | 0.45 | 1.76 | b |

[^1]${ }^{c} a: u_{\min }\left(u\left(X_{p t}\right)\right) \leq u_{\text {lab }} \leq u_{\max }\left(\sigma_{p t}\right) ; b: u_{\text {lab }}<u_{\min }$; and c: $u_{\text {lab }}>u_{\max }$


## Annex 8: Results for PBT cyclic dimer in solution 1

Assigned range: $\mathrm{x}_{p t}=0.0538 \pm 0.0074 U\left(x_{p t}, k=2.0\right) ; \sigma_{p t}=0.0108$ (all values in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ )

| Lab Code | $\mathrm{X}_{\text {lab }}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {lab }}$ | k | technique | $\mathrm{u}_{\text {lab }}$ | z-score | zeta-score | uncert. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-01 | 0.058 | 0.01 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.005 | 0.39 | 0.68 | a |
| N-02 | 0.041 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001 | -1.19 | -3.34 | b |
| N-03 | 0.063 | 0.021 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0105 | 0.85 | 0.83 | a |
| N-05 | 0.0599 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.56 | 1.65 | b |
| N-06 | 0.058 | 0.002 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-UV | 0.001155 | 0.39 | 1.08 | b |
| N-07 | <0.04 |  |  | HPLC-UV |  |  |  |  |
| N-08 | 0.019 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0005 | -3.22 | -9.32 | b |
| N-09 | 0.057 | 0.006 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.003 | 0.30 | 0.67 | b |
| N -10 | 0.055 | 0.0068 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0034 | 0.11 | 0.24 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-11$ | 0.053 | 0.00514 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.00257 | -0.07 | -0.18 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-12$ | 0.052 | 0.023 | 2 | LC-MS | 0.0115 | -0.17 | -0.15 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-13$ | 0.053 | 0.004 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.002 | -0.07 | -0.19 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-14$ | 0.0633 | 10 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 5 | 0.88 | 0.00 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-15$ | 0.062 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001 | 0.76 | 2.14 | b |
| N -16 | 0.052 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0005 | -0.17 | -0.48 | b |
| N-17 | 0.141 | 0.025 | 2.2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.011364 | 8.07 | 7.30 | C |
| N-22 | 0.052 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | -0.17 | -0.45 | b |
| N-23 | 0.027 | 0.004 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.002 | -2.48 | -6.37 | b |
| N-24 | 0.056 | 0.014 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.007 | 0.20 | 0.28 | a |
| N-25 | 0.052 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | -0.17 | -0.45 | b |
| N-28 | 0.053 | 0.008 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.004 | -0.07 | -0.15 | a |
| N-29 | 0.1 | 0.026 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.013 | 4.28 | 3.42 | c |
| N-30 | 0.06 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.57 | 1.68 | b |
| N-31 | 0.055 | 0.011 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0055 | 0.11 | 0.18 | a |
| N-32 | 0.054 | 0.005 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0025 | 0.02 | 0.04 | b |
| N-36 | 0.055 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.11 | 0.32 | b |
| O-18 | 0.0633 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0005 | 0.88 | 2.54 | b |
| O-19 | 0.0573 | 0.00325 | 2.776 | HPLC-DAD | 0.001171 | 0.32 | 0.90 | b |
| O-21 | 0.055 | 0.027 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0135 | 0.11 | 0.09 | C |
| O-26 | 0.0589 | 0.0144 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0072 | 0.47 | 0.63 | a |
| O-27 | 0.055 | 0.011 | 3 | HPLC-DAD | 0.003667 | 0.11 | 0.23 | b |
| O-33 | 0.074 | 0.022 | 2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.011 | 1.87 | 1.74 | C |
| O-34 | 0.067 | 0.0022 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0011 | 1.22 | 3.42 | b |
| O-35 | 0.06 | 0.002 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-DAD | 0.001155 | 0.57 | 1.60 | b |

[^2]${ }^{\text {b }}$ Performance scoring: satisfactory (green), questionable (yellow), unsatisfactory (red),
${ }^{c} a: u_{\min }\left(u\left(X_{p t}\right)\right) \leq u_{l a b} \leq u_{\max }\left(\sigma_{p t}\right) ; b: u_{l a b}<u_{\min } ;$ and $c: u_{\text {lab }}>u_{\max }$


## Annex 9: Results for PET cyclic trimer in solution 1

Assigned range: $\mathrm{x}_{p t}=0.0530 \pm 0.0051 U\left(x_{p t} k=2.0\right) ; \sigma_{p t}=0.0106$ (all values in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ )

| Lab Code | $\mathbf{X}_{\text {lab }}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\text {lab }}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | $\mathbf{t e c h n i q u e ~}^{l}$ | $\mathbf{u}_{\text {ab }}$ | z-score | zeta-score | uncert. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}-01$ | 0.063 | 0.01 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.005 | 0.94 | 1.78 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-02$ | 0.063 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001 | 0.94 | 3.65 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-03$ | 0.05 | 0.017 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0085 | -0.28 | -0.34 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-05$ | 0.0555 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.24 | 0.98 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-06$ | 0.058 | 0.003 | $\sqrt{ } 3$ | HPLC-UV | 0.001732 | 0.47 | 1.62 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-07$ | 0.095 | 0.01 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.005 | 3.96 | 7.48 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-08$ | 0.015 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0005 | -3.58 | -14.62 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-09$ | 0.057 | 0.006 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.003 | 0.38 | 1.02 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-10$ | 0.054 | 0.0067 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.00335 | 0.09 | 0.24 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-11$ | 0.0545 | 0.00496 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.00248 | 0.14 | 0.42 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-12$ | 0.048 | 0.021 | 2 | LC-MS | 0.0105 | -0.47 | -0.46 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-13$ | 0.053 | 0.004 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.002 | 0.00 | 0.00 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-14$ | 0.0551 | 15 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 7.5 | 0.20 | 0.00 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-15$ | 0.062 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001 | 0.85 | 3.29 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-16$ | 0.044 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001 | -0.85 | -3.29 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-17$ | 0.141 | 0.028 | 2.2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.012727 | 8.30 | 6.78 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-22$ | 0.054 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | 0.09 | 0.34 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-23$ | 0.027 | 0.004 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.002 | -2.45 | -8.02 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-24$ | 0.056 | 0.014 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.007 | 0.28 | 0.40 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-25$ | 0.053 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | 0.00 | 0.00 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-28$ | 0.059 | 0.0088 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0044 | 0.57 | 1.18 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-29$ | 0.06 | 0.009 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0045 | 0.66 | 1.35 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-30$ | 0.07 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 1.60 | 6.67 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-31$ | 0.055 | 0.011 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0055 | 0.19 | 0.33 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-32$ | 0.053 | 0.005 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0025 | 0.00 | 0.00 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-36$ | 0.0523 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | -0.07 | -0.27 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-18$ | 0.0639 | 0.0067 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.00335 | 1.03 | 2.59 | a |
| $\mathrm{O}-19$ | 0.04986 | 0.00211 | 2.776 | HPLC-DAD | 0.00076 | -0.30 | -1.18 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-21$ | 0.055 | 0.027 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0135 | 0.19 | 0.15 | c |
| $\mathrm{O}-26$ | 0.0608 | 0.0148 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0074 | 0.74 | 1.00 | a |
| $\mathrm{O}-27$ | 0.056 | 0.011 | 3 | HPLC-UV | 0.003667 | 0.28 | 0.67 | a |
| $\mathrm{O}-33$ | 0.07 | 0.021 | 2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.0105 | 1.60 | 1.57 | a |
| $\mathrm{O}-34$ | 0.066 | 0.0027 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.00135 | 1.23 | 4.51 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-35$ | 0.06 | 0.003 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-DAD | 0.001732 | 0.66 | 2.27 | b |

[^3]${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{a}: u_{\min }\left(u\left(X_{p t}\right)\right) \leq u_{\text {lab }} \leq u_{\max }\left(\sigma_{p t}\right) ; b: u_{\text {lab }}<u_{\min } ;$ and $c: u_{\text {lab }}>u_{\max }$


## Annex 10: Results for PBT cyclic trimer in solution 1

Assigned range: $x_{p t}=0.0502 \pm 0.0121 U\left(x_{p t} k=2.0\right) ; \sigma_{p t}=0.0100$ (all values in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ )

| Lab Code | $\chi^{\text {lab }}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {lab }}$ | k | technique | $\mathrm{u}_{\text {lab }}$ | z'-score | zeta-score | uncert. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-01 | 0.061 | 0.01 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.005 | 0.92 | 1.38 | b |
| N-02 | 0.081 | 0.008 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.004 | 2.63 | 4.25 | b |
| N-03 | 0.078 | 0.025 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0125 | 2.37 | 2.00 | c |
| N-05 | 0.0663 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 1.37 | 2.66 | b |
| N-06 | 0.061 | 0.003 | $\sqrt{ } 3$ | HPLC-UV | 0.001732 | 0.92 | 1.72 | b |
| N-07 | 0.145 | 0.02 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.01 | 8.09 | 8.11 | a |
| N-08 | 0 | 0 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0 | -4.28 | -8.30 | b |
| N-09 | 0.057 | 0.006 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.003 | 0.58 | 1.01 | b |
| N-10 | 0.06 | 0.012 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.006 | 0.84 | 1.15 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-11$ | 0.0524 | 0.00351 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001755 | 0.19 | 0.35 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-12$ | 0.077 | 0.034 | 2 | LC-MS | 0.017 | 2.29 | 1.49 | C |
| $\mathrm{N}-13$ | 0.054 | 0.004 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.002 | 0.32 | 0.60 | b |
| N-14 | 0.0635 | 10 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 5 | 1.13 | 0.00 | c |
| N-15 | 0.072 | 0.004 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.002 | 1.86 | 3.42 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-16$ | 0.049 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001 | -0.10 | -0.20 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-17$ | 0.157 | 0.031 | 2.2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.014091 | 9.11 | 6.96 | C |
| N-22 | 0.051 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | 0.07 | 0.13 | b |
| N-23 | 0.043 | 0.004 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.002 | -0.61 | -1.13 | b |
| N-24 | 0.055 | 0.014 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.007 | 0.41 | 0.52 | a |
| N-25 | 0.051 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | 0.07 | 0.13 | b |
| N-28 | 0.065 | 0.0097 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.00485 | 1.26 | 1.91 | b |
| N-29 | 0.05 | 0.011 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0055 | -0.02 | -0.02 | b |
| N-30 | 0.06 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.84 | 1.62 | b |
| N-31 | 0.056 | 0.011 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0055 | 0.49 | 0.71 | b |
| N-32 | 0.051 | 0.005 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0025 | 0.07 | 0.12 | b |
| N-36 | 0.098 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 4.08 | 7.90 | b |
| O-18 | 0.0606 | 0.0028 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0014 | 0.89 | 1.67 | b |
| O-19 | 0.04843 | 0.00225 | 2.776 | HPLC-DAD | 0.000811 | -0.15 | -0.29 | b |
| O-21 | 0.054 | 0.027 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0135 | 0.32 | 0.26 | C |
| O-26 | 0.0592 | 0.0145 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.00725 | 0.77 | 0.95 | a |
| O-27 | 0.056 | 0.011 | 3 | HPLC-DAD | 0.003667 | 0.49 | 0.82 | b |
| O-33 | 0.072 | 0.021 | 2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.0105 | 1.86 | 1.80 | a |
| O-34 | 0.063 | 0.0026 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0013 | 1.09 | 2.07 | b |
| O-35 | 0.06 | 0.002 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-DAD | 0.001155 | 0.84 | 1.59 | b |

[^4]${ }^{\text {b }}$ Performance scoring: satisfactory (green), questionable (yellow), unsatisfactory (red),
${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{a}: u_{\min }\left(u\left(X_{p t}\right)\right) \leq u_{\text {lab }} \leq u_{\max }\left(\sigma_{p t}\right) ; b: u_{\text {lab }}<u_{\min } ;$ and $c: u_{\text {lab }}>u_{\max }$


## Annex 11: Results for PET cyclic dimer in solution 2

Assigned range: $x_{p t}=0.0585 \pm 0.0057 U\left(x_{p t} k=2.0\right) ; \sigma_{p t}=0.0117$ (all values in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ )

| Lab Code | $\mathbf{X}_{\text {lab }}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\text {lab }}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | technique | $\mathbf{u}_{\text {lab }}$ | z-score | zeta-score | uncert. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}-01$ | 0.055 | 0.009 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0045 | -0.30 | -0.66 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-02$ | 0.184 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0005 | 10.73 | 43.37 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-03$ | 0.079 | 0.025 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0125 | 1.75 | 1.60 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-05$ | 0.0632 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.40 | 1.65 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-06$ | 0.05 | 0.001 | $\sqrt{ } 3$ | HPLC-UV | 0.00057735 | -0.73 | -2.92 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-07$ | 0.213 | 0.01 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.005 | 13.21 | 26.85 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-08$ | 0.026 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0005 | -2.78 | -11.23 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-09$ | 0.055 | 0.006 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.003 | -0.30 | -0.85 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-10$ | 0.052 | 0.0078 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0039 | -0.56 | -1.35 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-11$ | 0.0583 | 0.00536 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.00268 | -0.02 | -0.05 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-12$ | 0.044 | 0.019 | 2 | LC-MS | 0.0095 | -1.24 | -1.46 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-13$ | 0.064 | 0.005 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0025 | 0.47 | 1.45 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-14$ | 0.185 | 10 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 5 | 10.81 | 0.03 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-15$ | 0.055 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0005 | -0.30 | -1.21 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-16$ | 0.05 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0015 | -0.73 | -2.64 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-17$ | 0.14 | 0.028 | 2.2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.012727273 | 6.97 | 6.25 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-22$ | 0.056 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | -0.21 | -0.78 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-23$ | 0.024 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.001 | -2.95 | -11.42 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-24$ | 0.07 | 0.017 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0085 | 0.98 | 1.28 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-25$ | 0.054 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | -0.38 | -1.40 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-28$ | 0.063 | 0.0094 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0047 | 0.38 | 0.82 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-29$ | 0.1 | 0.016 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.008 | 3.55 | 4.89 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-30$ | 0.06 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.13 | 0.53 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-31$ | 0.071 | 0.014 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.007 | 1.07 | 1.65 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-32$ | 0.053 | 0.005 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0025 | -0.47 | -1.45 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-36$ | 0.064 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.47 | 1.93 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-18$ | 0.0649 | 0.0052 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0026 | 0.55 | 1.66 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-19$ | 0.04955 | 0.00208 | 2.571 | HPLC-DAD | 0.000809024 | -0.76 | -3.02 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-21$ | 0.055 | 0.027 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0135 | -0.30 | -0.25 | c |
| $\mathrm{O}-26$ | 0.0685 | 0.0164 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0082 | 0.85 | 1.15 | a |
| $\mathrm{O}-27$ | 0.07 | 0.014 | 3 | HPLC-DAD | 0.004666667 | 0.98 | 2.10 | a |
| $\mathrm{O}-33$ | 0.059 | 0.017 | 2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.0085 | 0.04 | 0.06 | a |
| $\mathrm{O}-34$ | 0.073 | 0.0023 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.00115 | 1.24 | 4.72 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-35$ | 0.059 | 0.002 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-DAD | 0.001154701 | 0.04 | 0.16 | b |

[^5]${ }^{c} a: u_{\min }\left(u\left(X_{p t}\right)\right) \leq u_{\text {lab }} \leq u_{\max }\left(\sigma_{p t}\right) ; b: u_{\text {lab }}<u_{\min } ;$ and c: $u_{\text {lab }}>u_{\max }$


## Annex 12: Results for PBT cyclic dimer in solution 2

Assigned range: $x_{p t}=0.0706 \pm 0.0097 U\left(x_{p t}, k=2.0\right) ; \sigma_{p t}=0.0141$ (all values in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ )

| Lab Code | $\mathrm{X}_{\text {lab }}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {lab }}$ | k | technique | $\mathrm{u}_{\text {lab }}$ | z-score | zeta-score | uncert. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-01 | 0.065 | 0.011 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0055 | -0.40 | -0.76 | a |
| N-02 | 0.042 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001 | -2.03 | -5.78 | b |
| N-03 | 0.072 | 0.023 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0115 | 0.10 | 0.11 | a |
| N-05 | 0.0896 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 1.35 | 3.92 | b |
| N-06 | 0.065 | 0.002 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-UV | 0.001155 | -0.40 | -1.12 | b |
| N-07 | <0.04 |  |  | HPLC-UV |  |  |  |  |
| N-08 | 0.019 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.001 | -3.65 | -10.42 | b |
| N-09 | 0.073 | 0.007 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0035 | 0.17 | 0.40 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-10$ | 0.055 | 0.0072 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0036 | -1.10 | -2.58 | b |
| N -11 | 0.0585 | 0.00667 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.003335 | -0.86 | -2.06 | b |
| N-12 | 0.057 | 0.025 | 2 | LC-MS | 0.0125 | -0.96 | -1.01 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-13$ | 0.056 | 0.005 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0025 | -1.03 | -2.68 | b |
| N -14 | 0.0633 | 10 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 5 | -0.52 | 0.00 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-15$ | 0.087 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0005 | 1.16 | 3.36 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-16$ | 0.072 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0005 | 0.10 | 0.29 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-17$ | 0.161 | 0.032 | 2.2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.014545 | 6.40 | 5.90 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-22$ | 0.055 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | -1.10 | -3.07 | b |
| N-23 | 0.038 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.001 | -2.31 | -6.58 | b |
| N-24 | 0.064 | 0.017 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0085 | -0.47 | -0.67 | a |
| N-25 | 0.056 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | -1.03 | -2.88 | b |
| N-28 | 0.059 | 0.0089 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.00445 | -0.82 | -1.76 | b |
| N-29 | 0.13 | 0.038 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.019 | 4.21 | 3.03 | c |
| N-30 | 0.07 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | -0.04 | -0.12 | b |
| N-31 | 0.17 | 0.034 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.017 | 7.04 | 5.62 | C |
| N-32 | 0.068 | 0.005 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0025 | -0.18 | -0.48 | b |
| N-36 | 0.064 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | -0.47 | -1.36 | b |
| O-18 | 0.0731 | 0.0012 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0006 | 0.18 | 0.51 | b |
| O-19 | 0.05247 | 0.00117 | 2.447 | HPLC-DAD | 0.000478 | -1.28 | -3.72 | b |
| O-21 | 0.063 | 0.031 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0155 | -0.54 | -0.47 | C |
| O-26 | 0.0692 | 0.0166 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0083 | -0.10 | -0.15 | a |
| O-27 | 0.17 | 0.034 | 3 | HPLC-DAD | 0.011333 | 7.04 | 8.06 | a |
| O-33 | 0.08 | 0.024 | 2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.012 | 0.67 | 0.73 | a |
| O-34 | 0.072 | 0.0021 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.00105 | 0.10 | 0.28 | b |
| O-35 | 0.081 | 0.002 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-DAD | 0.001155 | 0.74 | 2.09 | b |

[^6]${ }^{\text {b }}$ Performance scoring: satisfactory (green), questionable (yellow), unsatisfactory (red),
${ }^{c} a: u_{\min }\left(u\left(X_{p t}\right)\right) \leq u_{l a b} \leq u_{\max }\left(\sigma_{p t}\right) ; b: u_{l a b}<u_{\min } ;$ and $c: u_{\text {lab }}>u_{\max }$


## Annex 13: Results for PET cyclic trimer in solution 2

Assigned range: $x_{p t}=0.1645 \pm 0.0160 U\left(x_{p t} k=2.0\right) ; \sigma_{p t}=0.0329$ (all values in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ )

| Lab Code | $\mathbf{X}_{\text {lab }}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\text {lab }}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | technique | $\mathbf{u}_{\text {ab }}$ | z-score | zeta-score | uncert. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}-01$ | 0.182 | 0.03 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.015 | 0.53 | 1.03 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-02$ | 0.064 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0015 | -3.05 | -12.35 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-03$ | 0.195 | 0.055 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0275 | 0.93 | 1.06 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-05$ | 0.18 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.47 | 1.94 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-06$ | 0.181 | 0.009 | $\sqrt{ } 3$ | HPLC-UV | 0.005196 | 0.50 | 1.73 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-07$ | 0.099 | 0.01 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.005 | -1.99 | -6.94 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-08$ | 0.173 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.001 | 0.26 | 1.05 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-09$ | 0.169 | 0.017 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0085 | 0.14 | 0.39 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-10$ | 0.16 | 0.021 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0105 | -0.14 | -0.34 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-11$ | 0.1632 | 0.009302 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.004651 | -0.04 | -0.14 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-12$ | 0.12 | 0.055 | 2 | LC-MS | 0.0275 | -1.35 | -1.55 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-13$ | 0.163 | 0.013 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0065 | -0.05 | -0.15 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-14$ | 0.0508 | 15 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 7.5 | -3.46 | -0.02 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-15$ | 0.193 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0005 | 0.87 | 3.56 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-16$ | 0.168 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001 | 0.11 | 0.43 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-17$ | 0.422 | 0.084 | 2.2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.038182 | 7.83 | 6.60 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-22$ | 0.164 | 0.01 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.005 | -0.02 | -0.05 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-23$ | 0.102 | 0.013 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0065 | -1.90 | -6.06 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-24$ | 0.178 | 0.037 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0185 | 0.41 | 0.67 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-25$ | 0.164 | 0.01 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.005 | -0.02 | -0.05 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-28$ | 0.175 | 0.0263 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.01315 | 0.32 | 0.68 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-29$ | 0.18 | 0.019 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0095 | 0.47 | 1.25 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-30$ | 0.19 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.78 | 3.19 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-31$ | 0.062 | 0.012 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.006 | -3.12 | -10.25 | b |
| $\mathrm{~N}-32$ | 0.17 | 0.02 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.01 | 0.17 | 0.43 | a |
| $\mathrm{N}-36$ | 0.1603 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | -0.13 | -0.53 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-18$ | 0.1985 | 0.0055 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.00275 | 1.03 | 4.02 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-19$ | 0.1623 | 0.00232 | 2.447 | HPLC-DAD | 0.000948 | -0.07 | -0.27 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-21$ | 0.163 | 0.069 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0345 | -0.05 | -0.04 | c |
| $\mathrm{O}-26$ | 0.1822 | 0.0377 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.01885 | 0.54 | 0.86 | a |
| $\mathrm{O}-27$ | 0.055 | 0.011 | 3 | HPLC-DAD | 0.003667 | -3.33 | -12.44 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-33$ | 0.215 | 0.064 | 2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.032 | 1.53 | 1.53 | a |
| $\mathrm{O}-34$ | 0.2 | 0.0032 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0016 | 1.08 | 4.35 | b |
| $\mathrm{O}-35$ | 0.179 | 0.008 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-DAD | 0.004619 | 0.44 | 1.57 | b |

[^7]${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{a}: u_{\min }\left(u\left(X_{p t}\right)\right) \leq u_{\text {lab }} \leq u_{\max }\left(\sigma_{p t}\right) ; b: u_{\text {lab }}<u_{\min } ;$ and $c: u_{\text {lab }}>u_{\max }$


## Annex 14: Results for PBT cyclic trimer in solution 2

Assigned range: $x_{p t}=0.0509 \pm 0.0123 U\left(x_{p t} k=2.0\right) ; \sigma_{p t}=0.0102$ (all values in $\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ )

| Lab Code | $\mathrm{X}_{\text {lab }}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {lab }}$ | k | technique | $\mathrm{u}_{\text {lab }}$ | z'-score | zeta-score | uncert. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-01 | 0.192 | 0.032 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.016 | 11.85 | 8.23 | C |
| N-02 | 0.082 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0005 | 2.61 | 5.04 | b |
| N-03 | 0.065 | 0.021 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0105 | 1.18 | 1.16 | a |
| N-05 | 0.0614 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 0.88 | 1.71 | b |
| N-06 | 0.065 | 0.003 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-UV | 0.001732 | 1.18 | 2.21 | b |
| N-07 | 0.185 | 0.02 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.01 | 11.26 | 11.42 | a |
| N-08 | 0 | 0 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0 | -4.27 | -8.28 | b |
| N-09 | 0.057 | 0.006 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.003 | 0.51 | 0.89 | b |
| N-10 | 0.062 | 0.012 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.006 | 0.93 | 1.29 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-11$ | 0.0546 | 0.00317 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001585 | 0.31 | 0.58 | b |
| N-12 | 0.08 | 0.035 | 2 | LC-MS | 0.0175 | 2.44 | 1.57 | c |
| $\mathrm{N}-13$ | 0.055 | 0.004 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.002 | 0.34 | 0.63 | b |
| $\mathrm{N}-14$ | 0.0901 | 10 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 5 | 3.29 | 0.01 | C |
| N-15 | 0.069 | 0.001 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0005 | 1.52 | 2.93 | b |
| N -16 | 0.052 | 0.002 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.001 | 0.09 | 0.18 | b |
| N-17 | 0.163 | 0.032 | 2.2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.014545 | 9.41 | 7.10 | C |
| $\mathrm{N}-22$ | 0.053 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | 0.18 | 0.33 | b |
| N-23 | 0.044 | 0.004 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.002 | -0.58 | -1.07 | b |
| N-24 | 0.059 | 0.014 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.007 | 0.68 | 0.87 | a |
| N-25 | 0.054 | 0.003 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0015 | 0.26 | 0.49 | b |
| N-28 | 0.065 | 0.0098 | 2 | HPLC-UV | 0.0049 | 1.18 | 1.79 | b |
| N-29 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.005 | 0.76 | 1.15 | b |
| N-30 | 0.07 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 1.60 | 3.11 | b |
| N-31 | 0.058 | 0.012 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.006 | 0.60 | 0.83 | b |
| N-32 | 0.055 | 0.005 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0025 | 0.34 | 0.62 | b |
| N-36 | 0.1313 |  |  | HPLC-DAD | 0 | 6.75 | 13.07 | b |
| O-18 | 0.0627 | 0.0056 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0028 | 0.99 | 1.75 | b |
| O-19 | 0.04978 | 0.00169 | 2.571 | HPLC-DAD | 0.000657 | -0.09 | -0.18 | b |
| O-21 | 0.055 | 0.027 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0135 | 0.34 | 0.28 | C |
| O-26 | 0.0604 | 0.0147 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.00735 | 0.80 | 0.99 | a |
| O-27 | 0.57 | 0.011 | 3 | HPLC-DAD | 0.003667 | 43.58 | 72.50 | b |
| O-33 | 0.075 | 0.022 | 2 | LC-MS/MS | 0.011 | 2.02 | 1.91 | a |
| O-34 | 0.066 | 0.0026 | 2 | HPLC-DAD | 0.0013 | 1.27 | 2.40 | b |
| O-35 | 0.061 | 0.002 | $\sqrt{3}$ | HPLC-DAD | 0.001155 | 0.85 | 1.61 | b |

[^8]${ }^{c} \mathrm{a}: u_{\min }\left(u\left(X_{p t}\right)\right) \leq u_{\text {lab }} \leq u_{\max }\left(\sigma_{p t}\right) ; b: u_{\text {lab }}<u_{\min } ;$ and $c: u_{\text {lab }}>u_{\max }$


## Annex 15: Overview on experimental details

| Lab Code | Did you use the protocol that was sent to you? | If "No" describe briefly the analytical method used. | Standard method? | Validated method? | Accredited method? | Do you usually provide an uncertainty statement to your customers? | Does your laboratory have a quality manageme nt system? | If "Yes" based on which standard? | Does your laboratory participate in interlaboratory comparisons for this type of analysis? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-01 | a) Yes | Modified gradient and flow rate | X |  |  | b) No | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| N-02 | b) No | similar method with adapted chromatographic conditions |  |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| N-03 | a) Yes |  | X |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| N-05 | b) No | Only the wavelength was different, we used 254 nm | X |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| N-06 | a) Yes |  | No | No | No | b) No | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| N-07 | a) Yes |  |  | x |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| N-08 | a) Yes |  | X |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 , <br> b) ISO 9001 <br> series | b) No |
| N-09 | a) Yes |  | X |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | a) Yes |
| N -10 | b) No | it is slightly adjusted to our column, YMC-Pack Pro C18 150x $2.1 \mathrm{~mm} 3.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ | X |  |  | b) No | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| $\mathrm{N}-11$ | a) Yes |  |  |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| $\mathrm{N}-12$ | b) No | Used LC method with a differnt column and TOFMS detection |  |  |  | b) No | a) Yes | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { b) ISO } 9001 \\ \text { series } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | b) No |
| N -13 | a) Yes |  | no | no | no | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | a) Yes |
| $\mathrm{N}-14$ | a) Yes |  |  | X |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| $\mathrm{N}-15$ | a) Yes |  | X |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | a) Yes |
| N -16 | a) Yes |  |  |  |  | b) No | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| $\mathrm{N}-17$ | b) No | LC-MS/MS after dilution by 2 (in H2O) |  |  |  | b) No | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| $\mathrm{N}-23$ | a) Yes |  | X |  |  | b) No | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| N-24 | a) Yes |  |  |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| $\mathrm{N}-25$ | b) No | UHPLC, PDA-detection 240 nm , Waters PFPcolumn | X |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | a) Yes |
| N-28 | a) Yes |  | x |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |


| N-29 | a) Yes |  |  |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-30 | b) No | We used the protocol that was sent to us with the variation described hereafter: Column ( $100 \times 4.6$ mm , 3um), flow ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ), different linear gradient. |  |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| N-31 | a) Yes |  | X |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | a) Yes |
| N-32 | a) Yes |  |  | X |  | b) No | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| N-36 | a) Yes |  | X |  |  | b) No | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| O-18 | b) No | Which protocol? We analysed in dependence on the guideline of our NRL. |  |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | a) Yes |
| O-19 | b) No | Method validation is not ready. HPLC-DAD; Column: Agilent Zorbax SB Phenyl; 4,6x250mm; $5 \mu$ | No | No | No | b) No | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| O-21 | b) No | HPLC/DAD; different eluent programme, different column | X |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | a) Yes |
| O-22 | b) No | UHPLC, PDA-detction 240 nm , Waters PFPcolumn | X |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | a) Yes |
| O-26 | a) Yes |  | no | no | no | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| O-27 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { a) Yes, b) } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | differences to the protocol see Nr. 3 |  | X | X | a) Yes, b) No | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | a) Yes |
| O-33 | a) Yes | We use for mass parameters but we used LCMSMS | X |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | b) No |
| O-34 | b) No | coulomn zorbax sb C18 $150 * 4.6 \mathrm{~mm} 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ temp. $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ flow $1.2 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ mobile phase gradinet 60H20:40 CH3CN to 100 CH 3 CN | no | no | no | X | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | a) Yes |
| O-35 | a) Yes |  |  |  |  | a) Yes | a) Yes | a) ISO 17025 | a) Yes |

## Annex 16: Detailed method parameters and performance

| Lab Code | Analyte + <br> Performance <br> Solution 1 | Analyte + Performance Solution 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{L O D} \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | Mobile phase used | Injection volume ( $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) | Detection used | Column used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-01 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.02 | Acetonitrile and Water | 50 | UV | Phenomenex gemini $150 \times 3$ |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.02 | Acetonitrile and Water | 50 | UV | Phenomenex gemini $150 \times 3$ |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.02 | Acetonitrile and Water | 50 | UV | Phenomenex gemini $150 \times 3$ |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.02 | Acetonitrile and Water | 50 | UV | Phenomenex gemini $150 \times 3$ |
| N-02 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.02 | water/acetonitrile | 50 | UV | Zorbax Eclipse XDB C18 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.02 | water/acetonitrile | 50 | UV | Zorbax Eclipse XDB C18 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.02 | water/acetonitrile | 50 | UV | Zorbax Eclipse XDB C18 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.02 | water/acetonitrile | 50 | UV | Zorbax Eclipse XDB C18 |
| N-03 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.01 | H2O-ACN | 50 | DAD | C18 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.01 | H2O-ACN | 50 | DAD | C18 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.01 | H2O-ACN | 50 | DAD | C18 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.01 | H2O-ACN | 50 | DAD | C18 |
| N-05 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer |  |  |  |  |  |
| N-06 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.006 | Acetonitrile/water | 100 | UV-240nm | The same as protocol |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.013 | Acetonitrile/water | 100 | UV-240nm | The same as protocol |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.011 | Acetonitrile/water | 100 | UV-240nm | The same as protocol |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.005 | Acetonitrile/water | 100 | UV-240nm | The same as protocol |
| N-07 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.04 | WATER:ACETONITRILE | 50 | UV | 150X4.6mm,5um C18 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.05 | WATER:ACETONITRILE | 50 | UV | 150X4.6mm,5um C18 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.03 | WATER:ACETONITRILE | 50 | UV | 150X4.6mm,5um C18 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.04 | WATER:ACETONITRILE | 50 | UV | 150X4.6mm,5um C18 |
| N-08 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer |  | Acetonitrile:water | 50 | DAD | c18 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer |  | Acetonitrile:water | 50 | DAD | c18 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer |  | Acetonitrile:water | 50 | DAD | c18 |


|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer |  | Acetonitrile:water | 50 | DAD | c18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-09 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.002 |  | 100 | DAD | C18 XDB 4.6x150 5 um |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.002 |  | 100 | DAD | C18 XDB 4.6x150 5 um |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.002 |  | 100 | DAD | C18 XDB 4.6x150 5 um |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.002 |  | 100 | DAD | C18 XDB 4.6x150 5 um |
| N-10 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.01 | ACN/Water | 8 | DAD | YMC-Pack Pro C18 150x2.1 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.01 | ACN/Water | 8 | DAD | YMC-Pack Pro C18 150x2.1 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.01 | ACN/Water | 8 | DAD | YMC-Pack Pro C18 150x2.1 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.01 | ACN/Water | 8 | DAD | YMC-Pack Pro C18 150x2.1 |
| N-11 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer |  |  |  |  |  |
| N-12 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer |  | $\mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{Ac}+\mathrm{MeOH}$ | 50 | TOF-MS | Atlantis dC18 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer |  | $\mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{Ac}+\mathrm{MeOH}$ | 50 | TOF-MS | Atlantis dC18 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer |  | NH4Ac + MeOH | 50 | TOF-MS | Atlantis dC18 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer |  | $\mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{Ac}+\mathrm{MeOH}$ | 50 | TOF-MS | Atlantis dC18 |
| N-13 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.025 | acetonitrile/water | 100 | DAD | Xterra RP18 150x4.6, 5um |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.025 | acetonitrile/water | 100 | DAD | Xterra RP18 150x4.6, 5um |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.025 | acetonitrile/water | 100 | DAD | Xterra RP18 150x4.6, 5um |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.025 | acetonitrile/water | 100 | DAD | Xterra RP18 150x4.6, 5um |
| N-14 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.02 | ACN/Water | 10 | UV | Poreshell SB C18 2.1*100 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.02 | ACN/Water | 10 | UV | Poreshell SB C18 2.1*100 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.02 | ACN/Water | 10 | UV | Poreshell SB C18 2.1*100 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.02 | ACN/Water | 10 | UV | Poreshell SB C18 2.1*100 |
| N-15 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0,007 | Acetonotrile/Water | 20 | UV | X Bridge C18 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0,009 | Acetonitrile/Water | 20 | UV | X Bridge C18 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0,005 | Acetonitrile/Water | 20 | UV | X Bridge C18 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0,012 | Acetonitrile/Water | 20 | UV | X Bridge C18 |
| N-16 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.002 | ACN:H2O | 50 | UV | ZORBAX 5 C18 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.001 | ACN:H20 | 50 | UV | ZORBAX 5 C18 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.002 | ACN:H20 | 50 | UV | ZORBAX 5 C18 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.001 | ACN:H20 | 50 | UV | ZORBAX 5 C18 |


| N-17 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.001 | ACN/H2O | 20 | MS/MS | C18, 100x2.1mm $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.0002 | ACN/H2O | 20 | MS/MS | C18, 100x2.1mm $1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.004 | ACN/H2O | 20 | MS/MS | C18, $100 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm} 1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.0003 | ACN/H2O | 20 | MS/MS | C18, 100x $2.1 \mathrm{~mm} 1.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-23$ | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.01 | ACN-H2O | 50 | DAD | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Phenomenex C18, } \\ & 150 * 4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.01 | ACN-H2O | 50 | DAD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Phenomenex C18, } \\ & 150 * 4.6 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.01 | ACN-H2O | 50 | DAD | Phenomenex C18, $150 * 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.01 | ACN-H2O | 50 | DAD | Phenomenex C18, $150 * 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| N-24 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.005 | Acetonitrile HPLC/Water | 50 | UV | LiChrospher-RP18 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.005 | Acetonitrile HPLC/Water | 50 | UV | LiChrospher-RP18 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.005 | Acetonitrile HPLC/Water | 50 | UV | LiChrospher-RP18 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.005 | Acetonitrile HPLC/Water | 50 | UV | LiChrospher-RP18 |
| N-25 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.01 | H2O:MeOH | 10 | PDA | PFP |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.01 | H2O:MeOH | 10 | PDA | PFP |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.01 | H2O:MeOH | 10 | PDA | PFP |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.01 | H2O:MeOH | 10 | PDA | PFP |
| N-28 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.01 | ACN/H2O | 20 | UV 240 nm | SunShell 150x2.1 2.6um |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.01 | ACN/H2O | 20 | UV 240 nm | SunShell 150x2.1 2.6um |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.01 | ACN/H2O | 20 | UV 240 nm | SunShell 150x2.1 2.6um |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.01 | ACN/H2O | 20 | UV 240 nm | SunShell 150x2.1 2.6um |
| N-29 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.02 | Acetonitrile/H2O | 50 | UV | Eclipse XDB-C18 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.02 | Acetonitrile/H2O | 50 | UV | Eclipse XDB-C18 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.01 | Acetonitrile/H2O | 50 | UV | Eclipse XDB-C18 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.01 | Acetonitrile/H2O | 50 | UV | Eclipse XDB-C18 |
| N-30 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.01 | water/acetonitrile | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAD at } 240 \\ & \mathrm{~nm} \end{aligned}$ | Roc C18 100x4,6 mm, 3um |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.01 | water/acetonitrile | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAD at } 240 \\ & \mathrm{~nm} \end{aligned}$ | Roc C18 100x4,6 mm, 3um |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.01 | water/acetonitrile | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAD at } 240 \\ & \mathrm{~nm} \end{aligned}$ | Roc C18 100x4,6 mm, 3um |


|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.01 | water/acetonitrile | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAD at } 240 \\ & \text { nm } \end{aligned}$ | Roc C18 100x4,6 mm, 3um |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N-31 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.01 | Water/Acetonitrile | 50 | DAD | Poroshell 120 EC-C18 2.7 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.01 | Water/Acetonitrile | 50 | DAD | Poroshell 120 EC-C18 2.7 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.01 | Water/Acetonitrile | 50 | DAD | Poroshell 120 EC-C18 2.7 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.01 | Water/Acetonitrile | 50 | DAD | Poroshell 120 EC-C18 2.7 |
| N-32 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.005 | ACN/WATER | 50 | PDA | C18 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.005 | ACN/WATE | 50 | PDA | C18 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.005 | ACN/WATE | 50 | PDA | C18 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.005 | ACN/WATE | 50 | PDA | C18 |
| N-36 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer |  | ACN/water | 50 | DAD | XDB-C8 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer |  | ACN/water | 50 | DAD | XDB-C8 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer |  | ACN/water | 50 | DAD | XDB-C8 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer |  | ACN/water | 50 | DAD | XDB-C8 |
| O-18 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.03 | ACN/H2O | 8 | DAD | Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.03 | ACN/H2O | 8 | DAD | Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.04 | ACN/H2O | 8 | DAD | Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.03 | ACN/H2O | 8 | DAD | Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C18 |
| O-19 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.012 | Water-MeOH | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { and } \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 240 | Agilent Zorbax SB Phenyl |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.014 | Water-MeOH | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { and } \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 240 | Agilent Zorbax SB Phenyl |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.02 | Water-MeOH | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { and } \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 240 | Agilent Zorbax SB Phenyl |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0,02 | Water-MeOH | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { and } \\ & 20 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 240 | Agilent Zorbax SB Phenyl |
| O-21 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.005 | Acetonitril/Water | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAD, } 240 \\ & \mathrm{~nm} \end{aligned}$ | Luna 5 ${ }^{\text {C C18(2) } 150 \times 4,6}$ |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.005 | Acetonitril/Water | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAD, } 240 \\ & \mathrm{~nm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Luna $5 \mu \mathrm{C} 18$ (2) $150 \times 4,6$ |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.005 | Acetonitril/Water | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAD, } 240 \\ & \mathrm{~nm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Luna $5 \mu \mathrm{C} 18$ (2) $150 \mathrm{x} 4,6$ |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.005 | Acetonitril/Water | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAD, } 240 \\ & \mathrm{~nm} \end{aligned}$ | Luna $5 \mu \mathrm{C} 18$ (2) $150 \times 4,6$ |
| O-22 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.01 | H2O:MeOH | 10 | PDA | PFP |


|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.01 | H2O:MeOH | 10 | PDA | PFP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.01 | H2O:MeOH | 10 | PDA | PFP |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.01 | H2O:MeOH | 10 | PDA | PFP |
| O-26 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0,0062 | Acetonitril/Water | 20 | DAD | Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C8 |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0,0077 | Acetonitril/Water | 20 | DAD | Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C8 |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0,0135 | Acetonitril/Water | 20 | DAD | Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C8 |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0,0052 | Acetonitril/Water | 20 | DAD | Zorbax Eclipse XDB-C8 |
| O-27 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0,01 | H20/ACN | 10 | DAD 240nm | Envirosep PP 150x2,0mm |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0,01 | H20/ACNH20/ACN | 10 | DAD 240nm | Envirosep PP 150x2,0mm |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0,01 | H20/ACN | 10 | DAD 240nm | Envirosep PP 150x2,0mm |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0,01 | H20/ACN | 10 | DAD 240nm | Envirosep PP 150x2,0mm |
| O-33 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.001 | h2o formic acid ACCN | 5 |  |  |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.001 | h2o formic acid ACCN | 5 |  |  |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.001 | h2o formic acid ACCN | 5 |  |  |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.001 | h2o formic acid ACCN | 5 |  |  |
| O-34 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0.02 | gradient | 50 | DAD | zorbax sb C18 150*4.6mm |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0.02 | gradient | 50 | DAD | zorbax sb C18 150*4.6mm |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0.02 | gradient | 50 | DAD | zorbax sb C18 150*4.6mm |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0.01 | gradient | 50 | DAD | zorbax sb C18 150*4.6mm |
| O-35 | PBT cyclic dimer | PBT cyclic dimer | 0,005 | Acetonitrile/H2O Gradient | 20 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DAD: } 240 \\ \mathrm{~nm} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | RP18 12,5cmx $2,1 \mathrm{~mm} ; 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | PBT cyclic trimer | PBT cyclic trimer | 0,004 | Acetonitrile/H2O Gradient | 20 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { DAD: } 240 \\ \mathrm{~nm} \end{array}$ | RP18 12,5cmx $2,1 \mathrm{~mm} ; 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | PET cyclic dimer | PET cyclic dimer | 0,006 | Acetonitrile/H2O Gradient | 20 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { DAD: } 240 \\ \mathrm{~nm} \end{array}$ | RP18 12,5cmx $2,1 \mathrm{~mm} ; 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | PET cyclic trimer | PET cyclic trimer | 0,005 | Acetonitrile/H2O Gradient | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DAD: } 240 \\ & \mathrm{~nm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | RP18 12,5cmx $2,1 \mathrm{~mm} ; 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |

## GETTING IN TOUCH WITH THE EU

## In person

All over the European Union there are hundreds of Europe Direct information centres. You can find the address of the centre nearest you at: https://europa.eu/european-union/contact en

## On the phone or by email

Europe Direct is a service that answers your questions about the European Union. You can contact this service:

- by freephone: 0080067891011 (certain operators may charge for these calls),
- at the following standard number: +32 22999696, or
- by electronic mail via: https://europa.eu/european-union/contact en


## FINDING INFORMATION ABOUT THE EU

## Online

Information about the European Union in all the official languages of the EU is available on the Europa website at: https://europa.eu/european-union/index en

## EU publications

You can download or order free and priced EU publications from EU Bookshop at:
https://publications.europa.eu/en/publications. Multiple copies of free publications may be obtained by contacting Europe Direct or your local information centre (see https://europa.eu/europeanunion/contact en).

# The European Commission's science and knowledge service Joint Research Centre 

## JRC Mission

As the science and knowledge service of the European Commission, the Joint Research Centre's mission is to support EU policies with independent evidence throughout the whole policy cycle.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Stability criteria according to ISO 13528:2015 § B.5.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \sqrt{ } 3$ is set by the PT coordinator when no coverage factor $k$ is reported. The reported uncertainty was assumed to have a rectangular distribution with $k=\sqrt{ } 3$,
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Performance scoring: satisfactory (green), questionable (yellow), unsatisfactory (red),

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{V} 3$ is set by the PT coordinator when no coverage factor $k$ is reported. The reported uncertainty was assumed to have a rectangular distribution with $k=\sqrt{ } 3$,

[^3]:    $\sqrt{ } 3$ is set by the PT coordinator when no coverage factor $k$ is reported. The reported uncertainty was assumed to have a rectangular distribution with $k=\sqrt{ } 3$,
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Performance scoring: satisfactory (green), questionable (yellow), unsatisfactory (red),

[^4]:    ${ }^{a} \sqrt{ } 3$ is set by the PT coordinator when no coverage factor $k$ is reported. The reported uncertainty was assumed to have a rectangular distribution with $k=\sqrt{ } 3$,

[^5]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \sqrt{ } 3$ is set by the PT coordinator when no coverage factor $k$ is reported. The reported uncertainty was assumed to have a rectangular distribution with $k=\sqrt{ } 3$,
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Performance scoring: satisfactory (green), questionable (yellow), unsatisfactory (red),

[^6]:    V3 is set by the PT coordinator when no coverage factor $k$ is reported. The reported uncertainty was assumed to have a rectangular distribution with $k=\sqrt{ } 3$,

[^7]:    $\sqrt{ } 3$ is set by the PT coordinator when no coverage factor $k$ is reported. The reported uncertainty was assumed to have a rectangular distribution with $k=\sqrt{ } 3$,
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Performance scoring: satisfactory (green), questionable (yellow), unsatisfactory (red),

[^8]:    ${ }^{a}$ V3 is set by the PT coordinator when no coverage factor $k$ is reported. The reported uncertainty was assumed to have a rectangular distribution with $k=\sqrt{ } 3$,
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Performance scoring: satisfactory (green), questionable (yellow), unsatisfactory (red),

