WG1 – Dealing with the RAP

- Presenting ETS assessment report to higher management in-house
- From presenting the RAP to execution
- Organization (frequency/priority) of LPIS update
- Dealing with localized drivers (horses/abandonment)

- What can EC offer more to support the LPIS implementation?

Moderator: Alain Vander Velde / Rapporteur: Romuald Franielczyk

Member States presented

- BE-FL
- CZ
- DE
- DE-HE
- DK
- EE
- FR

- HU
- NL
- LT
- LU
- SE
- UK-NI

Experienced

and

«new in business»

WG1 – Dealing with the RAP

Presenting ETS assessment report to higher management in-house

1. Majority interacts with the management, before sending to EC

2. Analysis by mngt: risk driven assessment, financial corrections and / or payment on time

3. Example: DE – 13 Landers and 1 Federal level coordination
4. Monitoring approach -> awarness of management on AR

WG1 – Dealing with the RAP

From presenting the RAP to execution

1. Project management approach
2. If not, willingness endorsement to be able to go ahead
3. Existence of strategic document in some cases
4. Prioritization in the actions taken
5. In general no issues about resources for the implementation
6. No immediate effect of the actions

WG1 – Dealing with the RAP

Organization (frequency/priority) of LPIS update
1. Different approach presented
   - 2/3 years «standard systematic update»
   - Risk-based approach to highlight where to update
   - Automatic highlighting for the update
2. Different sources for updating
   - Standard imagery
   - 3° party information (registers)
3. Recognised need for more frequent update (in some case)

WG1 – Dealing with the RAP

Dealing with localized drivers (horses/abandonment)
1. No complain about randomness of the QA zones selection

Comments:
1. QA field visits could be more used
2. High interest in the b-tests results

WG1 – Dealing with the RAP

What can EC offer more to support the LPIS implementation?

1. No specific request
2. WikiCAP improvements (updates)
3. QA results to be accepted by the auditors