



### Drivers and pressures

- Screening results
  - Eligible non-agriculture (Art. 32(2)(b))
  - Use of HV and Waiver E
- Omnibus Regulation
  - Change of the definition of PG
  - Re-introduction of land use concept
- Update of LPIS Guidance in 2018
  - Explicit geographic delineation of agricultural land cover



### Annex III in ETS6.3

- No major changes of the methodology
  - Keep stability as long as possible
  - Wait for the revision of the LCML (ISO 19144-2)
    - FAO Project 0 is ongoing for the LCML
      - Conceptual link between LC and LU
      - Design of application schema for automation
      - Integration with EAGLE
- Clarifications and case-by-case workarounds
  - Art 32 and new PG
  - Applications of HV and waiver E



## Eligibility profile

- Possible new entries
  - natural terrestrial vegetation (both herbaceous and woody) to accommodate the variety of LC types in Art. 32(2)(b)
  - new generic PG entry (grassland with no herbaceous vegetation present) to account for the Omnibus regulation
- EU MS invited to provide their local-specific descriptions
  - So far no one provided such



# Eligibility profile

User - defined Legend Codes

National class	National Code	MML class	MML code
Currently in ETS			
Pumpkin	A6	Arable land	Α
In Future in ETS			
Pumpkin	A6	Arable land	A6

- EU MS invited to communicate always their local-specific land cover types
  - For the generation of the relevant LCCS code



#### Use of HV and Waiver E

- Conceptual assumptions not entirely valid anymore
  - Agricultural land cover in LPIS is geographically explicit
  - Permanent grassland is not defined solely by the persistent presence of herbaceous vegetation
  - Physical characteristics as biota, vegetation structure and physiognomy might not be only determining factors
  - Unlike in 2015, substantial historic data already available
- It is about dealing with PG in LPIS QA in general
  - Topic for the working group discussion?



