




Working groups





- 16/17 persons per group
- · Find a color mark on your name tag
- parallel discussions on the same topics
- · moderators, rapporteurs from COM
- 14:00 h WG presentations (20' each)



Moderators


- 1. Alain Vander Velde
- 2. Jerome Walsh
- 3. Pavel Milenov
- 4. Natasa Luketic





Methodology

- guided session
- ~ 2 h 50 min
- each covering 3-4 topics
- prepare reporting PPT



WG1 - Dealing with the RAP

- Presenting ETS assessment report to higher management in-house
- From presenting the RAP to execution
- Organization (frequency/priority) of LPIS update
- Dealing with localized drivers (horses/abandonment)
- What can EC offer more to support the LPIS implementation?

Moderator: Alain Vander Velde / Rapporteur: Romuald Franielczyk



European

WG2 - Evaluation and Optimization

- Key success factors for RAP implementation
- Use of ETS scoreboard as an input for LPIS improvements
- "Fitness for purpose" for monitoring
- Use of different sources for detection of or for information on land cover changes
- What can EC offer more to support the LPIS implementation?

Moderator: Jerome Walsh / Rapporteur: Slavko Lemajic



WG3 – Considering LPIS Characteristics

- Dealing with PG in IACS (the HV challenge)
- Changes in design can bring conformance (e.g. LF)
- Other measures that could be useful for LPIS QA
- What can EC offer more to support the LPIS implementation?

Moderator: Pavel Milenov / Rapporteur: Dominique Fasbender



WG4 – QA Methodological Challenges

- QA for LPIS purpose
- Feasibility for measurement as a secondary quality measure
- Residual issues of QE2 thresholds ("false NC")
- What can EC offer more to support the LPIS implementation?

Moderator: Natasa Luketic / Rapporteur: Csaba Wirnhardt



generic for all WG

What can EC offer more to support the LPIS implementation?



WG Reporting PPT structure

Topic 1

- issues
- solutions

Topic 2

- issues
- solutions

Topic 3

- issues
- solutions



