



D08-FSQ(2006)D/31334

CRL Evaluation Report on the Analytical Methods submitted in connection with Section II, 2.5 (Control Methods) of the Application for Authorisation as a Feed Additive according to Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003

Dossier related to: EFSA-Q-2006-061

Name of Additive: BONVITAL (dogs)

Active Agent(s): *Enterococcus faecium* DSM 7134

Rapporteur Laboratory: Community Reference Laboratory for Feed Additive Authorisation, IRMM, Geel, Belgium (CRL-FAA)

Report prepared by: Renata Leuschner (CRL-FAA)

Report checked by: Giuseppe Simone (CRL-FAA)  
Date: 11/12/2006

Report approved by: Christoph von Holst (CRL-FAA)  
Date: 13/12/2006

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In the current application authorisation is sought for the microbial feed additive Bonvital under the category 'zootechnical additives', functional group 'gut flora stabilisers' according to Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003. The active agent in the additive is *Enterococcus faecium* DSM 7134. The additive is available in two forms (powder or granules (micro-encapsulated)) both of which contain a minimum concentration of  $1 \times 10^{10}$  colony forming units (c.f.u.) per gram. Specifically, authorisation is sought to use Bonvital for dogs. The conditions of use are proposed with a recommended dosage of  $1 \times 10^9$  c.f.u./kg.

For the quantification of the active agent (*Enterococcus faecium* DSM 7134) of Bonvital in the *feed additive, premixtures* and *feedingstuffs*, an appropriate surface plate count method was proposed by the applicant. The method was in-house validated and shown to be transferable to four external laboratories. The method precision data resulting from the in-house and four laboratory trials were acceptable for the intended purpose.

For official controls regarding the quantitative determination of the active agent in the *feed additive, premixtures* and *feedingstuffs*, another plate count enumeration method is recommended which has been fully ring-trial validated (Leuschner R.G.K. et al. 2002. J. Appl. Microbiol. 93, 781-786). The method performance characteristics include a relative standard deviation for repeatability ( $RSD_r$ ) ranging between 1.5 to 3.6 % and a relative standard deviation for reproducibility ( $RSD_R$ ) ranging between 2.9 to 7.4 %. The limit of quantification (LOQ) for the method is around  $2$  to  $3 \times 10^6$  c.f.u./kg sample which is well below the minimum anticipated target level of application in feedingstuffs.

The identity of the bacterial strain, *Enterococcus faecium* DSM 7134, was analysed by a range of techniques including biochemistry, protein-fingerprinting and molecular methods such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). PFGE is a generally recognised standard methodology for microbial identification and is considered suitable for official controls in the frame of the authorisation.

On the basis of the supplied documentation, no supplementary experimental work (testing or method validation) is required.

## KEYWORDS

Bonvital, feed additive, *Enterococcus faecium*, zootechnical additive, dogs

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
BACKGROUND	3
TERMS OF REFERENCE	3
EVALUATION	4
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	6
REFERENCES	6
RAPPORTEUR LABORATORY	7

### 1. BACKGROUND

Bonvital is a feed additive for which authorisation is sought under the category 'zootechnical additives', functional group 'gut flora stabilisers' according to Annex I of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003. Bonvital is provided in form of a powder or encapsulated granules supplemented with a strain of *Enterococcus faecium*. The strain is deposited at the German Resource Centre for Biological Materials (DSMZ), Braunschweig, Germany under the deposit number DSM 7134. Bonvital contains at least  $1 \times 10^{10}$  c.f.u. of *Enterococcus faecium* DSM 7134 per gram in the feed additive [1]. The intended use of the current application (EFSA-Q-2006-061) is for dogs during their entire life. The proposed conditions of use for dogs are a recommended dosage of  $1 \times 10^9$  c.f.u./kg complete feedingstuffs [1, 2].

### 2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

In accordance with Article 5 of Regulation (EC) No 378/2005 on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the duties and tasks of the Community Reference Laboratory concerning applications for authorizations of feed additives, the CRL is requested to submit a full evaluation report to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) for each application. For this particular dossier, the methods of analysis submitted in connection

with the Bonvital dossier (EFSA-Q-2006-061) and their suitability to be used for official controls in the frame of the authorisation, were evaluated.

### 3. EVALUATION

The numbering system under this point refers to the 'Guidelines for the assessment of additives in feedingstuffs, part II: Enzymes and Micro-organisms' (2.5 Control methods), in the following referred to as 'the Guidelines'.

#### *Description of some of the methods listed under item 2.5.1. of the Guidelines*

##### *Qualitative and quantitative composition of the additive*

The method for quantifying the active agent as proposed by the applicant represents a surface plate count method using a selective nutrient agar of which the detailed composition is not provided. The method is according to the applicant suitable for Bonvital powder and Bonvital granules (microencapsulated) [3]. A sample is suspended in a dilution buffer and decimally diluted. Appropriate dilutions are plated on a selective agar. The applicant does not provide the exact compositions of the diluent or the agar. The agar plates are incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. The method was in-house validated [4] and validated by a four laboratory trial [5]. The results of the trial are reported [6-9]. The precision data for intra-laboratory repeatability and inter-laboratory reproducibility are acceptable and within the range of another plate count method to selectively enumerate enterococci using bile esculin azid (BEA) agar which was validated by a full collaborative study [10]. This fully ring-trial validated method is recommended for official controls in the frame of the authorisation.

The genetic identity of the strain is examined by a combination of techniques. The production strain was characterised biochemically, by protein-fingerprinting and by molecular methods such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) [11]. PFGE is recommended for official controls in the frame of the authorisation.

##### *Qualitative and quantitative composition of any impurities in the additive*

The applicant analyses the feed additive for microbiological contaminants such as *Escherichia coli*, yeast and moulds, *Salmonella* species, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus cereus* and sulphite reducing bacteria using standard methods EN ISO 9308-1, EN ISO

7954, ISO 6579, LMGB L02.07-2, DIN 10198-1,2 and DIN 38411, respectively [12]. These methods are considered suitable for the intended purpose.

***Description of qualitative and quantitative methods for routine control of the active agent in premixtures and feedingstuffs (cf. requirements of Guidelines section 2.5.2)***

For the enumeration of the active agents *Enterococcus faecium* DSM 7134 in *premixtures* and *feedingstuffs*, the applicant proposes the same surface plating method as for the feed additive which was described above [3, 10].

The applicant validated the method in-house using samples of Bonvital powder, Bonvital granulate, complete feed and milk replacer supplemented with Bonvital. An equivalent set of samples was used for a four laboratory ring-trial. The method precision data for within- and inter-laboratory repeatability and reproducibility were in the range of those obtained by a full collaborative study in which a different selective enterococci agar was used [4, 5]. The fully ring-trial validated method used Bile Esculine Azide (BEA) agar for quantification of the active substance in premixtures and feedingsstuff. The enumeration of enterococci on BEA agar showed relative standard deviation ( $RSD_r$ ) between 1.5 – 3.6 % for repeatability and ( $RSD_R$ ) between 2.9-7.4 % for reproducibility. BEA agar was selective for enterococci in the presence of other probiotic micro-organisms such as pediococci, lactobacilli and yeast. The results of the full collaborative study were published [10]. This method is recommended for official controls in the frame of the authorisation.

The applicant made some recommendations regarding the preparation of an initial suspension of a sample when trace elements, in particular copper, are present in premixtures or mineral feeds which could affect the viable counts [13]. An initial dilution of a factor of 100 to suspend the sample and an addition of 500 mg imidodiacetic acid to a liter of suspension buffer to chelate copper were suggested. These recommendation were not sustained by scientific data however may be helpful information for the analysis.

The applicant has used a range of techniques to identify the *Enterococcus faecium* strain used as active agent as described above [11]. Pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) is considered as a suitable method for official controls.

#### 4. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The applicant uses appropriate conventional methods to enumerate the active agent and potential impurities in the product. These are considered appropriate for the purpose, however, the CRL recommends for official controls in the frame of the authorisation ISO and/or CEN methods for the analysis of potential microbiological impurities in line with current European legislation. A ring-trial validated method using BEA agar is recommended for official controls of the active substance in the frame of the authorisation [10].

For the analysis of the identity of the bacterial strain, *Enterococcus faecium* DSM 7134, the applicant uses also a range of techniques which are appropriate to identify the strain. As PFGE is already widely used by reference laboratories to identify bacterial isolates it is recommended as a suitable methodology in this context for official controls.

On the basis of the supplied documentation, no supplementary experimental work (testing or method validation) is required.

***Recommended text for the register entry, fourth column (Composition, chemical formula, description, analytical method)***

Analytical method suitable for official controls: Enumeration spread plate method using bile esculin azid agar.

#### 5. DOCUMENTATION AND SAMPLES PROVIDED TO CRL

In accordance with the requirements of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003, samples of the additive Bonvital for dogs have been sent to the Community Reference Laboratory for Feed Additives Authorisation. The dossier has been made available to the CRL by EFSA.

#### 6. REFERENCES

- [1] Public Summary of the dossier
- [2] EFSA, Annex III Proposal of Register entry
- [3] Dossier Section II. 5. Control method 0 - 5.1. Quantification and counting assay (Lac-DO-Ef-1a\_1)
- [4] Enclosure 10a
- [5] Enclosure 10b
- [6] Enclosure 11

[7] Enclosure 12

[8] Enclosure 13

[9] Enclosure 14

[10] Leuschner R.G.K., Bew J., Domig K.J., Kneifel W. 2002. Journal of Applied Microbiology 93, 781-786

[11] Dossier Section II. 2. Specification of the active agent

[12] Enclosure 9, 2.5.1. General methods

[13] Dossier Section II. 5. Control methods. 7. Annotations

## **7. RAPPORTEUR LABORATORY**

The Rapporteur Laboratory for this evaluation was the Community Reference Laboratory for Feed Additive Authorisation (CRL-FAA), Geel, Belgium